# Repeated Games

CMPUT 654: Modelling Human Strategic Behaviour

S&LB §6.1

# Assignment #1

#### Assignment #1 is now available on eclass •

- Worth approximately 19% of your total mark
- Don't leave it to the last minute
- Due **Tuesday Feb 6** at 11:59pm (**next week**)

### Recap: Imperfect Information Extensive Form Example



- information set

#### We represent sequential play using **extensive form games**

In an **imperfect information** extensive form game, we represent private knowledge by grouping histories into information sets

• Players cannot distinguish which history they are in within an

### Recap: Behavioural vs. Mixed Strategies

### **Definition:**

#### **Definition:**

A **behavioural strategy**  $b_i \in [\Delta(A)]^{I_i}$  is a probability distribution over an agent's actions at an **information set**, which is **sampled independently** each time the agent arrives at the information set.

#### **Kuhn's Theorem:**

These are **equivalent**(\*) in games of **perfect recall**.

A mixed strategy  $s_i \in \Delta(A^{I_i})$  is any distribution over an agent's pure strategies.

#### Recap: Normal to Extensive Form d С CDС -1,-1 -4,0 $\boldsymbol{C}$ D 0,-4 -3,-3

Unlike perfect information games, we can go in the opposite direction and represent any normal form game as an imperfect information extensive form game



## Lecture Outline

- 1. Recap
- 2. Repeated Games
- 3. Infinitely Repeated Games
- 4. The Folk Theorem

# Repeated Game

- Some situations are well-modelled as the same agents playing a normalform game **multiple times**.
  - The normal-form game is the stage game; the whole game of playing the stage game repeatedly is a **repeated game**.
  - The stage game can be repeated a finite or an infinite number of times.
- Questions to consider:
  - 1. What do agents **observe**?
  - 2. What do agents **remember**?
  - 3. What is the agents' **utility** for the whole repeated game?

# Finitely Repeated Game

Suppose that *n* players play a normal f **Questions:** 

- 1. Do they observe the other players' actions? If so, when?
- 2. Do they **remember** what happened in the previous games?
- 3. What is the **utility** for the whole game?
- 4. What are the **pure strategies**?

Suppose that *n* players play a normal form game against each other  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  times.

### Representing Finitely Repeated Games

- extensive form games
- We can do the same for **repeated games**:

	С	d	
С	-1,-1	-4,0	
D	0,-4	-3,-3	
	and then		
	С	d	
С	-1,-1	-4,0	
D	0,-4	-3,-3	



• Recall that we can represent normal form games as **imperfect information** 

# Fun (Repeated) Game



- Play at least two people

Play the **Prisoner's Dilemma** five times in a row against the **same person** 

### Properties of Finitely Repeated Games

- Playing an equilibrium of the stage game at every stage is an equilibrium of the repeated game (**why?**)
  - Instance of a stationary strategy
- In general, pure strategies can depend on the  $\bullet$ previous history (why?)
- **Question:** When the normal form game has a lacksquarestrictly dominant strategy, what can we say about the equilibrium of the finitely repeated game?



# Infinitely Repeated Game

Suppose that *n* players play a normany times.

### **Questions:**

- 1. Do they remember what happened in the previous games?
- 2. What is the **utility** for the whole game?
- 3. What are the **pure strategies**?
- 4. Can we write these games in the imperfect information extensive form?

Suppose that *n* players play a normal form game against each other infinitely

### Payoffs in Infinitely Repeated Games

- Question: What are the payoffs in an infinitely repeated game?
  - We cannot take the sum of payoffs in an infinitely repeated game (why not?)
  - We cannot put the overall utility on the terminal nodes, because there aren't any
- Two possible approaches:
  - 1. Average reward: Take the limit of the average reward to be the overall reward of the game
  - 2. **Discounted reward:** Apply a **discount factor** to future rewards to guarantee that they will converge

# Average Reward

### **Definition:**

Given an infinite sequence of payoffs  $r_i^{(1)}, r_i^{(2)}, \ldots$  for player *i*, the

average reward of *i* is

Problem: May not converge (why?)







# Discounted Reward

### **Definition:**

factor  $0 \le \beta \le 1$ , the **future discounted reward** of *i* is



- Interpretations:  $\bullet$ 
  - rewards they have to wait for.
  - with probability  $1 \beta$ .
- The two interpretations have identical implications for analyzing the game.

Given an infinite sequence of payoffs  $r_i^{(1)}, r_i^{(2)}, \dots$  for player *i*, and a discount

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta^{t} r_{i}^{(t)}.$$

1. Agent is **impatient**: cares more about rewards that they will receive earlier than

2. Agent cares equally about all rewards, but at any given round the game will stop

### Strategy Spaces in Infinitely Repeated Games

**Question:** What is a **pure strategy** in an infinitely repeated game?

**Definition:** For a stage game G = (N, A, u), let  $A^* = \{\emptyset\} \cup A$ 

be the set of **histories** of the infinitely repeated game. Then a **pure strategy** of the infinitely repeated game for an agent i is a mapping  $s_i: A^* \to A_i$  from histories to player *i*'s actions.

t  
<sup>1</sup> 
$$\cup A^2 \cup \cdots = \bigcup_{t=0}^{\infty} A^t$$

### Equilibria in Infinitely Repeated Games

- Question: Are infinitely repeated games guaranteed to have Nash equilibria?
  - Recall: Nash's Theorem only applies to finite games
- Can we characterize the set of equilibria for an infinitely repeated game?
  - Can't build the induced normal form, there are infinitely many pure strategies (why?)
  - There could even be infinitely many pure strategy Nash equilibria! (how?)
- We can characterize the set of **payoff profiles** that are achievable in an equilibrium, instead of characterizing the equilibria themselves.

### Enforceable

**Definition:** Let  $v_i = \min \max u_i(s_i, s_{-i})$  be *i*'s minmax value in G = (N, A, u).  $S_i \in S_i$   $S_i \in S_i$ Then a payoff profile  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n)$  is **enforceable** if  $r_i \ge v_i$  for all  $i \in N$ .

can ensure that i's utility is no greater than  $r_i$ .

• A payoff vector is enforceable (on i) if the other agents working together

### Feasible

### **Definition:**

values  $\{\alpha_a \mid a \in A\}$  such that for all  $i \in N$ ,  $r_i =$ with  $\sum_{a \in A} \alpha_a = 1$ .

outcomes in G.

A payoff profile  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n)$  is **feasible** if there exist **rational**, non-negative

$$\sum_{a \in A} \alpha_a u_i(a),$$

• A payoff profile is feasible if it is a (rational) convex combination of the

## Folk Theorem

### **Theorem:**

Consider any n-player normal form game G and payoff profile  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n).$ 

- 1. If r is the payoff profile for any Nash equilibrium of the infinitely repeated G with average rewards, then r is enforceable.
- 2. If r is both feasible and enforceable, then r is the payoff profile for some Nash equilibrium of the infinitely repeated G with average rewards.
- Whole family of similar proofs for discounted rewards case, subgame perfect equilibria, real convex combinations, etc.

### Folk Theorem Proof Sketch: Nash $\implies$ Enforceable

- profile in a Nash equilibrium  $s^*$  of the infinitely repeated game.
- Consider the strategy  $s'_i(h) \in BR_i(s^*_{-i}(h))$  for each  $h \in A^*$ .
- (**why**?)
- an equilibrium.

• Suppose for contradiction that r is **not** enforceable, but r is the payoff

• Player *i* receives at least  $v_i > r_i$  in every stage game by playing strategy  $s'_i$ 

• So strategy  $s'_i$  is a utility-increasing deviation from  $s^*$ , and hence  $s^*$  is not

### Folk Theorem Proof Sketch: Enforceable & Feasible $\implies$ Nash

- Suppose that r is both feasible and enforceable.
- frequency  $\alpha_{\alpha}$  (since  $\alpha_{\alpha}$ 's are all rational).
- **GRIM TRIGGER** Strategy)

  - Thus there is no utility-increasing deviation for i.

• We can construct a strategy profile  $s^*$  that visits each action profile a with

• At every history where a player *i* has not played their part of the cycle, all of the other players switch to playing the minmax strategy against i (this is called a

• That makes *i*'s overall utility for the game  $v_i \leq r_i$  for any deviation  $s'_i$ . (**why**?)

# Summary

- A **repeated game** is one in which agents play the same normal form game (the stage game) multiple times.
- Finitely repeated: Can represent as an imperfect information  $\bullet$ extensive form game.
- **Infinitely repeated:** Life gets more complicated  $\bullet$ 
  - Payoff to the game: either average or discounted reward
  - Pure strategies map from entire previous history to action
- Folk theorem characterizes which payoff profiles can arise in any equilibrium lacksquare All profiles that are both enforceable and feasible.