Policy Iteration & Monte Carlo Prediction

S&B §4.3-4.4, 5.0-5.2

CMPUT 366: Intelligent Systems

Lecture Outline

- 1. Recap & Logistics
- 2. Policy Iteration
- 3. Monte Carlo Prediction

Assignment #3

- Assignment #3 is due Mar 25 (this Friday) at 11:59pm

• Reminder that TAs are available during office hours 5 days/week to help

State-value function

Action-value function

$$q_{\pi}(s,a) \doteq \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t | S_t = s, A_t = a]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1} \middle| S_t = s, A_t = a\right]$$

Recap: Value Functions



Recap: Bellman Equations

Value functions satisfy a **recursive consis** $v_{\pi}(s) \doteq \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t | S_t = s]$ $= \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1} | S_t =$ $= \sum_{a} \pi(a | s) \sum_{s'} \sum_{r} p(s)$ $= \sum_{a} \pi(a | s) \sum_{s',r} p(s', r | s)$

- v_{π} is the unique solution to π 's (state-value) Bellman equation
- There is also a Bellman equation for π 's action-value function

Value functions satisfy a recursive consistency condition called the Bellman equation:

$$= s]$$

$$s', r \mid s, a) \left[r + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{\pi} [G_{t+1} \mid S_{t+1} = s'] \right]$$

$$|s, a) \left[r + \gamma v_{\pi}(s') \right]$$

Recap: In-Place Iterative Policy Evaluation

Iterative Policy Evaluation, for estimating $V \approx v_{\pi}$

Input π , the policy to be evaluated Initialize V(s), for all $s \in S^+$, arbitrarily except that V(terminal) = 0Loop: $\Delta \leftarrow 0$ Loop for each $s \in S$: $v \leftarrow V(s)$ $V(s) \leftarrow \sum_{a} \pi(a|s) \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|)$ $\Delta \leftarrow \max(\Delta, |v - V(s)|)$ until $\Delta < \theta$

- \bullet of waiting for the current sweep to complete (**why?**)
- of all possible next states (instead of what?)

Algorithm parameter: a small threshold $\theta > 0$ determining accuracy of estimation

$$s,a) [r + \gamma V(s')]$$

The updates are in-place: we use new values for V(s) immediately instead

• These are **expected updates**: Based on a weighted average (expectation)

Recap: Policy Improvement Theorem

Theorem: Let π and π' be any pair of deterministic policies. If $q_{\pi}(s, \pi'(s)) \ge v_{\pi}(s) \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$, then $v_{\pi'}(s) \ge v_{\pi}(s) \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$.

If you are never worse off at any state by following π' for one step and then following π forever after, then following π' forever has a higher expected value at every state.

$$\pi_0 \xrightarrow{E} v_{\pi_0} \xrightarrow{I} \pi_1 \xrightarrow{E} v_{\pi_1} \xrightarrow{I} \pi_2 \xrightarrow{E} \cdots \xrightarrow{I} \pi_* \xrightarrow{E} v_*$$

1. Initialization $V(s) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\pi(s) \in \mathcal{A}(s)$ arbitrarily for all $s \in S$ 2. Policy Evaluation Loop: $\Delta \leftarrow 0$ Loop for each $s \in S$: $v \leftarrow V(s)$ $V(s) \leftarrow \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,\pi(s)) [r+\gamma V(s')]$ $\Delta \leftarrow \max(\Delta, |v - V(s)|)$ 3. Policy Improvement policy-stable $\leftarrow true$ For each $s \in S$: old-action $\leftarrow \pi(s)$ $\pi(s) \leftarrow \operatorname{arg\,max}_{a} \sum_{s',r} p(s',r | s,a) [r + \gamma V(s')]$ If $old\text{-}action \neq \pi(s)$, then $policy\text{-}stable \leftarrow false$

Policy Iteration

Policy Iteration (using iterative policy evaluation) for estimating $\pi \approx \pi_*$

until $\Delta < \theta$ (a small positive number determining the accuracy of estimation)

If *policy-stable*, then stop and return $V \approx v_*$ and $\pi \approx \pi_*$; else go to 2

This is a lot of iterations! Is it necessary to run to completion?





Value Iteration, for estimating $\pi \approx \pi_*$

Algorithm parameter: a small threshold $\theta > 0$ determining accuracy of estimation Initialize V(s), for all $s \in S^+$, arbitrarily except that V(terminal) = 0

Loop: $\Delta \leftarrow 0$ $\begin{array}{l} \Delta \leftarrow 0 \\ \text{Loop for each } s \in \mathbb{S}: \\ v \leftarrow V(s) \\ V(s) \leftarrow \max_{a} \sum_{s',r} p(s',r \,|\, s,a) \\ \Delta \leftarrow \max(\Delta, |v - V(s)|) \end{array}$ until $\Delta < \theta$ Output a deterministic policy, $\pi \approx \pi_*$, such that $\pi(s) = \operatorname{argmax}_{a} \sum_{s',r} p(s', r | s, a) \left[r + \gamma V(s') \right]$

Value Iteration

Value iteration interleaves the estimation and improvement steps:

$$_{1} + \gamma v_{k}(S_{t+1}) | S_{t} = s, A_{t} = a]$$

$$s', r \mid s, a) [r + \gamma v_k(s')]$$

$$a) \left[r + \gamma V(s') \right]$$

Policy Iteration Summary

- An optimal policy has higher state value than any other policy at every state
- A policy's state-value function can be computed by iterating an expected update based on the Bellman equation
- Given any policy π , we can compute a greedy improvement π' by choosing highest expected value action based on v_{π}
- **Policy iteration:** Repeat: Greedy improvement using v_{π} , then recompute v_{π}
- Value iteration: Repeat: Recompute v_{π} by assuming greedy improvement at every update

Example: Blackjack

- Player gets two cards, dealer gets 1
- Player can hit (get a new card) as many times as they like, or stick (stop hitting)
- After the player is done, the dealer hits / sticks according to a fixed rule
- Whoever has the most points (sum of card values) wins
- But, if you have more than 21 points, you lose immediately ("bust")

Simulating Blackjack

- **Question:** Is it easy to **compute** the full **dynamics**? \bullet
- **Question:** Is it easy to run **iterative policy evaluation**? \bullet

Given a policy for the player, it is very easy to simulate a game of Blackjack

Experience vs. Expectation

- In order to compute expected updates, we need to know the exact probability of every possible transition
- Often we don't have access to the full probability distribution, but we do have access to **samples of experience**
 - 1. Actual experience: We want to learn based on interactions with a real environment, without knowing its dynamics
 - 2. Simulated experience: We can simulate the dynamics, but we don't have an explicit representation of transition probabilities, or there are too many states

Monte Carlo Estimation

 Instead of estimating expectations by a weighted sum over from the distribution:

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{x} f(x)x \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \quad \text{where } x_i \sim f$$

all possibilities, estimate expectation by averaging over a sample drawn

Monte Carlo Prediction

- Use a large sample of episodes generated by a policy π to estimate the state-values $v_{\pi}(s)$ for each state s
 - We will consider only episodic tasks for now
- Question: What is the return G_t for state $S_t = s$ in a given episode?
- We can estimate the expected return $v_{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}[G_t \mid S_t = s]$ by averaging the returns for that state in every episode containing a visit to s

First-visit Monte Carlo Prediction

First-visit MC prediction, for estimating $V \approx v_{\pi}$

Input: a policy π to be evaluated Initialize:

> $V(s) \in \mathbb{R}$, arbitrarily, for all $s \in S$ $Returns(s) \leftarrow an empty list, for all <math>s \in S$

Loop forever (for each episode): Generate an episode following $\pi: S_0, A_0, R_1, S_1, A_1, R_2, \dots, S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_T$ $G \leftarrow 0$ Loop for each step of episode, $t = T - 1, T - 2, \ldots, 0$: $G \leftarrow \gamma G + R_{t+1}$ Unless S_t appears in $S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_{t-1}$: Append G to $Returns(S_t)$

 $V(S_t) \leftarrow \operatorname{average}(Returns(S_t))$



Monte Carlo vs. Dynamic Programming

- - a state's estimate
- Monte Carlo estimate of each state's value is



• **Iterative policy evaluation** uses the estimates of the **next state's** value to update the value of this state

• Only needs to compute a **single transition** to update

independent from estimates of other states' values

Needs the **entire episode** to compute an update

• Can focus on evaluating a **subset of states** if desired

Summary

Monte Carlo estimation estimates values by averaging returns over sample episodes

- Does not require access to full model of dynamics
- Does require access to an entire episode for each sample