# Conditional Independence

CMPUT 366: Intelligent Systems

P&M §8.2

# Logistics & Assignment #1

- Assignment #1 is due Feb 4 11:59pm (next week)
- Office hours have begun!
  - Not mandatory; for getting help from TAs •
  - There are no labs for this course

# Lecture Outline

- 1. Recap
- 2. Expected Value
- 3. Structure
- 4. Marginal Independence
- 5. Conditional Independence

- **Probability** is a numerical measure of **uncertainty**  $\bullet$ 
  - Not a measure of truth
- **Semantics:**  $\bullet$ 
  - variables
  - Every possible world has a probability
  - Probability of a proposition is the sum of probabilities of possible worlds in which the statement is true

# Recap: Probability

• A possible world is a complete assignment of values to

### Recap: Conditional Probability

- possible worlds in which *e* is false
  - sum to 1
- Result is probabilities conditional on  $e: P(h \mid e)$

• When we receive evidence in the form of a proposition e, it rules out all of the

• We set those worlds' probability to 0, and rescale remaining probabilities to

# Expected Value

• The **expected value** of a function f on a random variable is the weighted the **probability** of each value:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(X)\right] =$$

 $x \in C$ 

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(X) \mid Y = y\right] =$$


 $x \in$ 

average of that function over the domain of the random variable, weighted by

$$\sum_{dom(X)} P(X = x)f(x)$$


• The conditional expected value of a function f is the average value of the function over the domain, weighted by the **conditional probability** of each value:

$$\sum_{x \in Om(X)} P(X = x \mid Y = y)f(x)$$



 $\mathbb{E}[X] = 3$  $\mathbb{E}[X^2] \simeq 10$ 

## Expected Value Examples



 $\mathbb{E}[Y] = 3$  $\mathbb{E}[Y^2] \simeq 12$ 

### Unstructured Joint Distributions

- Probabilities are not fully **arbitrary** 
  - **Semantics** tell us probabilities given the joint distribution.
  - Semantics alone do not restrict probabilities very much
- In general, we might need to **explicitly** specify the entire **joint distribution** 
  - **Question:** How many numbers do we need to assign to fully specify a joint distribution of k binary random variables?
- We call situations where we have to explicitly enumerate the entire joint distribution unstructured

## Structure

- Unstructured domains are very hard to reason about
- Fortunately, most real problems are generated by some sort of underlying process
  - This gives us structure that we can exploit to represent and reason about probabilities in a more compact way
  - We can **compute** any required joint probabilities based on the process, instead of specifying every possible joint probability explicitly
- Simplest kind of structure is when random variables don't interact

# Marginal Independence

other, we say the two variables are marginally independent.

### **Definition:**

Random variables X and Y are marginally independent iff

- 1. P(X = x | Y = y) = P(X = x), and
- 2. P(Y = y | X = x) = P(Y = y)

for all values of  $x \in dom(X)$  and  $y \in dom(Y)$ .

- When the value of one variable **never** gives you information about the value of the

# Marginal Independence Example

- I flip four fair coins, and get four results:  $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4$
- Question: What is the probability that  $C_1$  is heads?

• 
$$P(C_1 = heads)$$

- Suppose that  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$ , and  $C_4$  are tails
- Question: Now what is the probability that  $C_1$  is heads?
  - $P(C_1 = heads \mid C_2 = tails, C_3 = tails, C_4 = tails)$
  - Why?

## Properties of Marginal Independence

### **Proposition:**

If X and Y are marginally independent, then

$$P(X = x, Y = y)$$

for all values of  $x \in dom(X)$  and  $y \in dom(Y)$ .

#### **Proof:**

- 1. P(X = x, Y = y) = P(X = x | Y = y)P(Y = y) Chain rule
- 2. P(X = x, Y = y) = P(X = x)P(Y = y)

y) = P(X = x)P(Y = y)

Marginal independence

# C1 P H 0.5


<b>C</b> <sub>2</sub>	Ρ
Н	0.5

C <sub>3</sub>	Ρ
Н	0.5

<b>C</b> 4	Ρ
Н	0.5

## Exploiting Marginal Independence

- Instead of storing the entire joint distribution, we can store 4 marginal distributions, and use them to recover joint probabilities
  - Question: How many numbers do we need to assign to fully specify the marginal distribution for a single binary variable?
- If everything is independent, learning from observations is hopeless (why?)
  - But also if **nothing** is independent
  - The intermediate case, where many variables are independent, is ideal



### **Example:**


- I have a stylish but impractical clock with no number markings
- Two students, Alice and Bob, both look at the clock at the same time, and form opinions about what time it is
  - Their opinion of the time is **directly affected** by the actual time They don't talk to each other, so Alice's opinion of the time is not directly affected by Bob's opinion of the time (& vice versa)
  - lacksquare
- **Question:** Are A and B marginally independent?

$$P(A \mid B) \neq P(A)$$

**Question:** If we know it is 10:09. Are A and B independent?

 $P(A \mid B, T = 10:09) = P(A \mid T = 10:09)$ 

# Clock Scenario



### **Random variables:**

- A Time Alice thinks it is
- **B** Time Bob thinks it is

$$T$$
 - Actual time



When knowing the value of a **third** variable Z makes two variables A, Bindependent, we say that they are **conditionally independent given** Z (or independent conditional on Z).

#### **Definition:**

Random variables X and Y are conditionally independent given Z iff

$$P(X = x | Y = y, Z = z) = P(X = x | Z = z)$$

for all values of  $x \in dom(X)$ ,  $y \in dom(Y)$ , and  $z \in dom(Z)$ . We write this using the notation  $X \perp Y \mid Z$ .

**Clock example:** A and B are conditionally independent given T.

## Conditional Independence

## Properties of Conditional Independence

#### **Proposition:**

If X and Y are conditionally independent given Z, then

$$P(X = x, Y = y \mid Z)$$

for all values of  $x \in dom(X)$ ,  $y \in dom(Y)$ , and  $z \in dom(Z)$ .

#### **Proof:**

1. 
$$P(X = x, Y = y | Z) = P(X = x | Y = y, Z = z) P(Y = y | Z)$$
 Chain rule  
2.  $P(X = x, Y = y | Z) = P(X = x | Z) P(Y = y | Z)$  Conditional independence

 $= P(X = x \mid Z)P(Y = y \mid Z)$ 

### Properties of Conditional Independence

Question: Is conditional independence commutative?

• i.e., If  $X \perp \!\!\!\perp Y \mid Z$ , is it also true that  $Y \perp \!\!\!\perp X \mid Z$ ? **Proof:** 

# Exploiting Conditional Independence

If X and Y are marginally independent given Z, then we can again just store smaller tables and recover joint distributions by multiplication.

- lacksquarethe joint distribution of X, Y, Z when X and Y are independent given Z?
  - combination of x, y, z?

**Preview:** In the upcoming lectures, we will see how to efficiently exploit **complex** structures of conditional independence

**Question:** How many tables do we need to store in order to be able to compute

• i.e., how many table to be able to compute P(X = x, Y = y, Z = z) for every

# Simplified Clock Example

A	T	P(A   T)
12	1	0.25
1	1	0.50
2	1	0.25
1	2	0.25
2	2	0.50
3	2	0.25
2	3	0.25
3	3	0.50
4	3	0.25

B	T	P(B   T)
12	1	0.25
1	1	0.5
2	1	0.25
1	2	0.25
2	2	0.5
3	2	0.25
2	3	0.25
3	3	0.5
4	3	0.25

Τ	P(T)
1	0
2	1/10
3	1/10
4	1/10
5	1/10
6	1/10
7	1/10
8	1/10
9	1/10
10	1/10
11	1/10
12	0



### Caveats


- $\bullet$ given a third variable
  - $C_3$ : Learning the value of  $C_3$  does not make  $C_2$  any more informative about  $C_1$ .
- This is **not always true** 
  - Consider another random variable: B is true if both  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are the same value
  - $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are marginally independent:  $P(C_1 = heads \mid C_2 = heads) = P(C_1 = heads)$
  - - $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are not conditionally independent given B

Often, when two variables are marginally independent, they are also conditionally independent

• E.g., coins  $C_1$ , and  $C_2$  are marginally independent, and also conditionally independent given

• In fact,  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are also both marginally independent of **B**:  $P(C_1 \mid B = true) = P(C_1)$ 

• But if I know the value of B, then knowing the value of  $C_1$  tells me exactly what the value of  $C_2$ must be:  $P(C_1 = heads \mid B = true, C_2 = heads) \neq P(C_1 = heads \mid B = true)$ 



# Summary

- Unstructured joint distributions are exponentially expensive to represent (and operate on)
- Marginal and conditional independence are especially important forms of structure that a distribution can have
  - Vastly reduces the cost of representation and computation
  - Caveat: The relationship between marginal and conditional independence is not fixed
- Joint probabilities of (conditionally or marginally) independent random variables can be computed by multiplication