# Optimality & Simple Heuristic Search

CMPUT 366: Intelligent Systems

P&M §3.6

### Logistics

- TA office hours begin this week
  - See eClass page for times and meeting links
- Assignment #1 released next week

### Lecture Outline

- Logistics 1.
- 2. Optimality & Least Cost First Search
- 3. Heuristics

### Recap: Uninformed Search

Different search strategies have different properties and behaviour

- **Depth first search** is space-efficient but not always complete or time-efficient
- **Breadth first search** is complete and always finds the shortest path to a goal, but is not space-efficient
- Iterative deepening search can provide the benefits of both, at the expense of some time-efficiency
- All three strategies must potentially expand every node

### Updated Iterative Deepening Search

**Input:** a *graph*; a set of *start nodes*; a *goal* function

**for** max\_depth from 1 to  $\infty$ : more\_nodes := False frontier :=  $\{\langle s \rangle \mid s \text{ is a start node}\}$ while *frontier* is not empty: **remove**  $\langle n_0, \ldots, n_k \rangle$  from *frontier* if  $goal(n_k)$ : return  $\langle n_0, \ldots, n_k \rangle$ if k < max\_depth: for each neighbour n of  $n_k$ : add  $\langle n_0, \ldots, n_k, n \rangle$  to frontier else if  $n_k$  has neighbours: *more\_nodes* := True end-while if more\_nodes = False: return None

select the newest path  $\langle n_0, ..., n_k \rangle$  from *frontier* 

## Optimality

### **Definition:**

An algorithm is **optimal** if it is guaranteed to return an optimal (i.e., **minimal-cost**) solution **first** (i.e., before any other solution).

**Question:** Which of the three algorithms presented so far is optimal? Why?

### Least Cost First Search

- None of the algorithms described so far is guided by arc costs
  - BFS and IDS are implicitly guided by **path length**, which can be the same for uniform-cost arcs
- They return a path to a goal node as soon as they happen to blunder across one, but it may not be the optimal one
- Least Cost First Search is a search strategy that is guided by arc costs

### Least Cost First Search

**Input:** a graph; a set of start nodes; a goal function frontier :=  $\{\langle s \rangle \mid s \text{ is a start node}\}$ while *frontier* is not empty: select the cheapest path  $\langle n_0, \ldots, n_k \rangle$  from frontier **remove**  $\langle n_0, ..., n_k \rangle$  from *frontier* if  $goal(n_k)$ : return  $\langle n_0, \ldots, n_k \rangle$ for each neighbour n of  $n_k$ : add  $\langle n_0, \ldots, n_k, n \rangle$  to frontier end while

|i.e.,  $cost(\langle n_0, ..., n_k \rangle) \le cost(p)$ | for all other paths  $p \in frontier$ 

### **Question:**

What data structure for the frontier implements this search strategy?



### Least Cost First Search Analysis

- Least Cost First Search is **complete** and **optimal** if there is  $\epsilon > 0$  with  $cost(\langle n_1, n_2 \rangle) > \epsilon$  for every arc  $\langle n_1, n_2 \rangle$ :
  - 1. Suppose  $\langle n_0, \ldots, n_k \rangle$  is the optimal solution
  - 2. Suppose that *p* is any non-optimal solution So,  $cost(p) > cost(\langle n_0, ..., n_k \rangle)$
  - 3. For every  $0 \le \ell \le k$ ,  $cost(\langle n_0, ..., n_\ell \rangle) < cost(p)$
  - 4. So p will never be removed from the frontier before  $\langle n_0, \ldots, n_k \rangle$
- What is the worst-case **space complexity** of Least Cost First Search? [A: O(m)] [B: O(mb)] [C:  $O(b^m)$ ] [D: it depends]
- When does Least Cost First Search have to expand every node of the graph?

### Summary: Search Strategies

	Depth First	Breadth First	Iterative Deepening	Least Cost First
Selection	Newest	Oldest	Newest, multiple	Cheapest
Data structure	Stack	Queue	Stack, counter	Priority queue
Complete?	Finite graphs only	Complete	Complete	Complete if $cost(p) > \varepsilon$
Space complexity	O(mb)	<b>O(b</b> <sup>m</sup> )	O(mb)	<b>O(b</b> <sup>m</sup> )
Time complexity	<b>O(b</b> <sup>m</sup> )	<b>O(b</b> <sup>m</sup> )	O(mb <sup>m</sup> ) **	<b>O(b</b> <sup>m</sup> )
<b>Optimal?</b>	No	No	No	Optimal

- identifying **promising directions** to explore
- We will encode this knowledge in a function called a node to a goal node

### Domain Knowledge

• Domain-specific knowledge can help speed up search by

heuristic function which estimates the cost to get from a

• The search algorithms in this lecture take account of this heuristic knowledge when selecting a path from the frontier

### Heuristic Function

### **Definition:**

A heuristic function is a function h(n) that returns a non-negative estimate of the cost of the cheapest path from node *n* to a goal node.

• For paths: 
$$h(\langle n_0, \dots, n_k \rangle) = h(n_k)$$

- Uses only **readily-available** information about a node (i.e., easy to compute)
- Problem-specific

### Admissible Heuristic

### **Definition:**

A heuristic function is **admissible** if h(n) is **always less than or equal** to the cost of the cheapest path from *n* to any goal node.

• i.e., h(n) is a lower bound on  $cost(\langle n, ..., g \rangle)$  for any goal node g

## Example Heuristics

- **Euclidean distance** for DeliveryBot (ignores that it can't go through walls)
- Number of dirty rooms for VacuumBot (ignores the need to move between rooms)
- **Points** for chess pieces (ignores positional strength)



### Constructing Admissible Heuristics

- Search problems try to find a cost-minimizing path, subject to constraints encoded in the search graph
- How to construct an easier problem? Drop some constraints.
  - This is called a **relaxation** of the original problem
- The cost of the optimal solution to the relaxation will always be an admissible heuristic for the original problem (Why?)
- Neat trick: If you have two admissible heuristics  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ , then  $h_3(n) = \max\{h_1(n), h_2(n)\}$  is admissible too! (Why?)

# Simple Uses of Heuristics

- Heuristic depth first search: Add neighbours to the frontier in decreasing order of their heuristic values, then run depth first search as usual
  - Will explore most promising successors first, but
  - Still explores all paths through a successor before considering other successors
  - Not complete, not optimal
- Greedy best first search: Select path from the frontier with the lowest heuristic value
  - Not guaranteed to work any better than breadth first search (why?)

## Summary

- Domain knowledge can help speed up graph search
- Domain knowledge can be expressed by a heuristic function, which estimates the cost of a path to the goal from a node
- Admissible heuristics can be built from relaxations of the original problem
- Surprisingly, simple uses of heuristics do not guarantee improved performance
- Next time: A\* algorithm for provably optimal use of admissible heuristics