Machine Learning Handbook Ch.7

Generalized Linear Models

CMPUT 296: Basics of Machine Learning

Logistics

- Midterms are marked
 - Grades and feedback available on eClass
- Thought questions #3 will be marked by Thursday
- Thought questions #4 due one week from Thursday (Nov 26)

Recap: Logistic Regression

Linear binary classification: Learn a linear decision boundary

"side" are classified as 1

i.e., f(x; w) =

- Logistic regression: Learn a model p(y =
 - Logistic regression because we are learning a mapping from **x** to $p(y = 1 | \mathbf{x})$
 - Logistic function $\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-t)}$ forces estimate to be a valid probability (i.e., in [0,1])
 - No closed-form solution for MLE; must learn numerically (e.g., SGD)
 - MLE problem is **convex**; local optimum is also a global optimum

• All observations on one "side" of boundary are classified as 0, all observations on the other

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} \le 0 \end{cases}$$
$$1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$$

Outline

- **Recap & Logistics** 1.
- 2. Another Linear-ish Regression Scheme
- 3. Natural Exponential Family Distributions
- 4. Generalized Linear Models

Probabilistic Approaches

We've now seen two probabilistic approaches to regression:

Linear Regression 1. $\mathbb{E}[y \mid \mathbf{x}] = \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}$ 2. $p(y \mid \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ 3. $\mu = \omega^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}$

Question: What do these two approaches have in common?

Logistic Regression 1. $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}] = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x})$ 2. $p(y \mid \mathbf{x}) = \text{Bernoulli}(\alpha)$ 3. $\alpha = \sigma(\omega \mathbf{x})$

Example: Population Regression

Suppose we want to predict the number of sunny days per year in a city, given some numerical features about the city (latitude and longitude).

Questions:

- 1. Can we directly apply linear regression to this problem? Why?

Can we directly apply logistic regression to this problem? Why?

Exponential Transfer

- The number of sunny days is both integer and positive
- If we try to apply linear regression directly, our predictions will sometimes be negative non-integers
- If we try to apply logistic regression directly, our predictions will always be between 0 and 1 (and non-integer)
- What if we replaced the sigmoid function with a different function that forces the expected value to be positive?

• We can apply f to a linear weighting of features to get a positive expected value:

 $f(t) = \exp(t) \implies 0 \le f(t) < \infty$

 $\mathbb{E}[y \mid \mathbf{x}] = f(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x})$

number of sunny days:

Poisson Regression

1.
$$\mathbb{E}[y \mid \mathbf{x}] = f(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}) = \exp(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x})$$

2. $p(y \mid \mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{Poisson}(\lambda)$
3. $\lambda = f(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x})$

- Poisson distribution's parameter λ is both the mean and the variance
- Poisson distribution only places positive probability on integers

Poisson Regression

We can use the exponential transfer function to define a Poisson model for



Poisson Regression: MLE Solution $p(y \mid \mathbf{x}, \omega = \mathbf{w}) = \text{Poisson}(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{y} \exp(-\lambda)}{v!} = \frac{e^{\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x} y} \exp(-e^{\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}})}{v!}$ $\log p(y \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \log \frac{e^{\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} y} \exp(-e^{\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} y})}{v!}$ $= \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{y} - e^{\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}} - \log \mathbf{y}!$ $\mathbf{w}_{\mathsf{MLE}} = \arg\max_{\mathbf{w}} p(\mathcal{D} \mid \mathbf{w}) = \arg\max_{\mathbf{w}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(\mathbf{y}_i \mid \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$ = $\arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p(\mathbf{y}_i \mid \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$ = $\arg \max_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_{i} y_{i} - e^{\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_{i}} - \log y_{i}!$ = $\arg\min_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}_{i}} - \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}_{i}y_{i}$ There is no closed-form solution to this optimization problem.

$$\frac{(x^{y})}{2} = \log e^{\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}y} + \log \exp\left(-e^{\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}}\right) - \log y!$$

Question: How can we find \mathbf{W}_{MIF} ?



Natural Exponential Family Distributions

- The Gaussian (Normal), Bernoulli, and Poisson distributions are all examples of distributions from the (natural) exponential family
- The **natural exponential family** of distributions are distributions with the form: $p(y \mid \theta) = ext$
- θ is the **parameter** of the distribution
- $a: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the log-normalizer function
- $b: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the **base measure** function

$$p\left(\theta y - a(\theta) + b(y)\right)$$

Natural Exponential Family Example: Poisson

$$p(y \mid \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{y} e^{-\lambda}}{y!}$$

$$= \exp\left(\log\lambda^{y}\right) \exp(-\lambda) \exp\left(\log\frac{1}{y!}\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(\log\lambda^{y} - \lambda + \log\frac{1}{y!}\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(y\log\lambda - \lambda - \log y!\right)$$

 $p(y \mid \theta) = \exp(\theta y - a(\theta) + b(y))$

$$\theta = \log \lambda$$
$$a(\theta) = \exp(\theta) = \lambda$$
$$b(y) = -\log y!$$

Natural Exponential Family Example: Bernoulli

 $p(y \mid \theta) = ex$

$$p(y \mid \alpha) = \alpha^{y}(1-\alpha)^{1-y} = \exp\left(y\log\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} + \log(1-\alpha)\right)$$
$$= \frac{\alpha^{y}}{(1-\alpha)^{y-1}} = \exp\left(y\log\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} - \log\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right)$$
$$= \frac{\alpha^{y}}{(1-\alpha)^{y}}(1-\alpha)$$
$$= \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^{y}(1-\alpha)$$
$$\theta = \log\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}$$
$$\theta = \log\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}$$
$$a(\theta) = \log(1 + \exp(\theta)) = \log\frac{1}{1-\theta}$$
$$b(y) = 0$$

$$\exp\left(\theta y - a(\theta) + b(y)\right)$$



Natural Exponential Family Example: Gaussian with $\sigma = 1$

$$p(y \mid \mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y-\mu)^2\right) = \exp\left(\log\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y-\mu)^2\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(\log\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\mu^2 - 2\mu y + y^2}{2}\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(\log\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\mu^2 - 2\mu y + y^2}{2}\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(\log\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\mu^2 + 2\mu y - y^2}{2}\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(\log\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\mu^2 + 2\mu y - y^2}{2}\right)$$



 $p(y \mid \theta) = \exp(\theta y - a(\theta) + b(y))$

Log-Normalizer

 $p(y \mid \theta) = ex$

The **log-normalizer** ensures that the probability density integrates to 1:

$$a(\theta) = \log z \text{ where } z = \int_{\mathscr{Y}} \exp(\theta y + b(y)) \, dy, \text{ so}$$

$$\int_{\mathscr{Y}} \exp(\theta y - a(\theta) + b(y)) \, dy = \int_{\mathscr{Y}} \exp(\theta y - \log z + b(y)) \, dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\exp(\log z)} \int_{\mathscr{Y}} \exp(\theta y + b(y)) \, dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\int_{\mathscr{Y}} \exp(\theta y + b(y)) \, dy} \int_{\mathscr{Y}} \exp(\theta y + b(y)) \, dy = 1$$

$$\operatorname{xp}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta} y - \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \boldsymbol{b}(y)\right)$$

Properties $\partial a(\theta)$ $= \mathbb{E}[Y]$ $\partial \theta$ $\partial^2 a(\theta)$ $= \mathbb{V}[Y]$ $\partial \theta^2$



Linear Regression Logistic Regression

- 1. $\mathbb{E}[y \mid \mathbf{x}] = \omega^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}$ 1. $\mathbb{E}[y \mid \mathbf{x}] = \sigma(\omega^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x})$ 1. $\mathbb{E}[y \mid \mathbf{x}] = f(\omega^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}) = \exp(\omega^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x})$
- 2. $p(y | \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ 2. $p(y | \mathbf{x}) = \text{Bernoulli}(\alpha)$ 2. $p(y | \mathbf{x}) = \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$
- 3. $\mu = \omega^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}$ 3. $\alpha = \sigma(\omega^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x})$ 3. $\lambda = f(\omega^{\top} \mathbf{x})$
- Linear, logistic, and Poisson regression are all generalized linear models • A generalized linear model is a model where

1.
$$\mathbb{E}[y \mid \mathbf{x}] = f(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x})$$

- 2. $p(y \mid \mathbf{x})$ is an exponential family distribution
- The transfer function f is typically the derivative of the log-normalizer of p
 - i.e., the transfer function and the distribution family are **chosen together**

Generalized Linear Models

Poisson Regression

Solving Generalized Linear Models

- **Question:** Can we analytically solve GLMs?
- GLMs are typically solved using (stochastic) gradient descent: $p(y \mid \theta) = \exp(\theta y - a(\theta) + b(y))$ $\log p(y \mid \theta) = \theta y - a(\theta) + b(y)$ $\arg \max \log p(y \mid \theta) = \arg \max \theta y$ W
 - $= \arg \max \mathbf{W}$ W
 - $= \arg \min a($ W

$$y - a(\theta) + b(y)$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y} - a(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}) + b(\mathbf{y})$$

$$(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}y$$

 $\arg\min_{\mathbf{w}} c_i(\mathbf{w}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w}} a(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_i) - \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_i y_i$ $\frac{\partial c_i(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} \left(a(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_i) - \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_i y_i \right)$ $= \frac{\partial a(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}_{i})}{\partial w_{i}} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}_{i}y_{i}}{\partial w_{i}}$ $= \left(\frac{\partial a(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_{i})}{\partial \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_{i}} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_{i} y_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_{i}} \right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_{i}}{w_{j}}$ $= (f(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i)x_{ij}$

Solving GLMs (2)

So the stochastic gradient descent update would be:

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} = \mathbf{w}_t - \eta_t \left(f(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_i) - y_i \right) \mathbf{x}_i$$

Summary

- Linear and logistic regression are both generalized linear models lacksquare
 - So is Poisson regression
- A generalized linear model is a model where: \bullet 1. $\mathbb{E}[y \mid \mathbf{x}] = f(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x})$ 2. $p(y \mid \mathbf{x})$ is an exponential family distribution
- \bullet
- The transfer function for a GLM is typically $f = -\frac{1}{\partial \theta}$

An **exponential family distribution** is a distribution that can expressed as

 $p(y \mid \theta) = \exp(\theta y - a(\theta) + b(y))$

да