Bayesian Inference

CMPUT 261: Introduction to Artificial Intelligence

P&M §10.4, §8.6

Logistics

- Assignment #2 is due today at 11:59pm
- Midterm is Tuesday, March 5

 - Usual lecture time & place

 - **Practice midterm** is on eclass

• Coverage: Everything up to and including today (Bayesian Inference)

• Cheat sheet: One sheet of paper, double-sided okay, hand-written

Recap: Linear Models

- Linear regression is a simple model for predicting real quantities
 - Can be used for classification too, either based on **sign** of prediction or using **logistic regression**
- Gradient descent is a general, widely-used training procedure (with several variants)
 - Linear models can be optimized in closed form for certain losses
 - In practice often optimized with gradient descent

- **Overfitting** is when a learned model fails to **generalize** due to **overconfidence** and/or \bullet learning spurious regularities
- **Causes of test error:** \bullet
 - **Bias:** Systematic choice of suboptimal hypotheses **Noise:** Unpredictability that is inherent in the process
 - \bullet • Variance: Different training sets can yield very different hypotheses \bullet
 - (e.g., coin flips cannot be perfectly predicted, even by the "true" model)
- Avoiding overfitting:
 - 1. **Pseudocounts:** Add **imaginary** observations
 - 2. **Regularization: Penalize** model complexity
 - 3. Cross-validation: Reserve validation data to estimate overfitting / test error
 - Used to select values for hyperparameters

Recap: Overfitting

Lecture Outline

- Recap & Logistics 1.
- Learning Model Probabilities 2.
- Using Model Probabilities 3.
- 4. Prior Distributions as Bias

After this lecture, you should be able to:

- derive the posterior probability of a model using Bayes' rule
- explain how to use the Beta and Bernoulli distributions for Bayesian learning
- \bullet define a conjugate prior and likelihood
- demonstrate model averaging

Learning Point Estimates

- So far, we have considered how to find the best single model (hypothesis), e.g.,
 - learn a classification function
 - optimize *the* weights of a linear or logistic regression
- The **predictions** might be a probability distribution, but they are coming out of a single model:
 - $P(Y \mid X)$ Probability of target Y given observation X
- We have been learning point estimates of our model

Learning Model Probabilities

Instead, we could learn a distribution over **models**: \bullet

• $Pr(\theta \mid D)$

- weight them differently depending upon their **posterior probability**
- **Question:** Why would we want to do that?

• $\Pr(X, Y \mid \theta)$ Probability of target Y and features X given model θ

Probability of model θ given dataset D

• This is called **Bayesian learning**: we never discard any model, we only

- $\Pr(X, Y(\theta))$ Probability of target Y and features X given model θ Probability of model θ given dataset D • $Pr(\theta \mid D)$
- We can do Bayesian learning over **finite** sets of models:
 - e.g., { rank by feature $\theta \mid \theta \in \{\text{height, weight, age}\}$
- We can do Bayesian learning over **parametric families** of models:
 - e.g., { regression with weights $w_0 = \theta_1$, $w_1 = \theta_2 \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R}^2$ }
- We can mix the two!

What is a Model?

• *θ* can encode choice of model family and parameters

•
$$Pr(X, Y \mid \theta)$$
 Probability
• $Pr(\theta \mid D)$ Probability

- We have an expression for the probability of a single example given a model: $Pr(X, Y \mid \theta)$
- **Question:** What is the expression for the probability of a dataset of observations $D = \{(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_m, Y_m)\}$ given a model?
 - Assuming that the dataset are independent, identically distributed observations: $(X_i, Y_i) \sim P(X, Y \mid \theta)$



ne Dataset?

- of target Y and features X given model θ
- of model θ given dataset D

- $Pr(D | \theta) = Pr(X_1, Y_1 | \theta) \times ... \times Pr(X_m, Y_m | \theta)$

• $Pr(\theta \mid D)$

Now we can use **Bayes' Rule** to compute the posterior probability of a model θ :





Prior probability

of model θ

 $\Pr(D \mid \theta) \Pr(\theta)$ Pr(D) $\prod_{i} \Pr(X_{i}, Y_{i} | \theta) \Pr(\theta)$ $\Pr(D)$ $= \frac{\prod_{i} \Pr(X_{i}, Y_{i} | \theta) \Pr(\theta)}{\sum_{\theta'} \Pr(D | \theta') \Pr(\theta')}$

- don't know the coin's bias
- Model: Binomial observations lacksquare
 - Observations: $Y \in \{h, t\}$
 - Bias: $\theta \in [0,1]$
 - Likelihood: $Pr(H \mid \theta) = \theta$
 - Question: What should the prior $Pr(\theta)$ be?

Example: Biased Coin

• Back to coin flipping! We can flip a coin and observe heads or tails, but we

- Before we see any flips, all biases are equally probable (according to uniform prior)
- After more and more flips, we become more confident in θ
- θ with highest probability is 2/3
 - **Expected** value of θ is less! (**why**?)
 - But with more observations, mode and expected value get closer



Beta-Binomial Models

- Likelihood: $P(h \mid \theta) = \theta$
 - aka **Bernoulli** $(h \mid \theta)$
 - Dataset likelihood: $\theta^{n_1} \times (1 \theta)^{n_0}$
 - aka **Binomial** (n_1, n_0)
- Prior: $P(\theta) \propto 1$
 - aka **Beta**(1,1)
- Models of this kind are called **Beta-Binomial models** lacksquare
- They can be solved analytically: $Pr(\theta \mid D) = \text{Beta}(1 + n_1, 1 + n_0)$

Conjugate Priors

- The beta distribution is a **conjgate prior** for the binomial distribution:
 - Updating a beta prior with a binomial likelihood gives a beta posterior: $Pr(\theta \mid D \cup \{1\}) \propto Pr(\{1\} \mid \theta) Pr(\theta \mid D)$

 - = Beta $(1 + a, b)(\theta)$
- Other distributions have this property: \bullet
 - Gaussian-Gaussian (for means) \bullet
 - Dirichlet-Multinomial (generalization of Beta-Binomial for multiple values)

 $= \theta \operatorname{Beta}(a, b)(\theta)$

Using Model Probabilities

So we can estimate $Pr(\theta \mid D)$. What can we do with it?

- 1. Parameter estimates
- 2. Target predictions (model averaging)
- 3. Target predictions (point estimates)

1. Parameter Estimates

- Sometimes, we really want to know the parameters of a model itself
- E.g., maybe I don't care about predicting the next coin flip, but I do want to know whether the coin is fair
- Can use $Pr(\theta \mid D)$ to make statements like

 $\Pr(0.49 \le \theta \le 0.51) > 0.9$

- Sometimes we do want to make predictions:
- This is called the **posterior predictive distribution**
- model, and then predicting with that model?

2. Model Averaging



Question: How is this different from just learning a point estimate of a

3. Maximum A Posteriori

• Sometimes we do want to make predictions, **but...**

 $\Pr(Y|D) = \int_{0}^{1} \Pr(Y|\theta) \Pr(\theta|D) d\theta$

- the posterior predictive distribution may be **expensive** to compute (or even intractable)
- One possible solution is to use the **maximum a posterior** model as a point estimate: $\Pr(Y|D) \simeq \Pr(Y|\hat{\theta})$
- **Question:** Why would you do this instead of just using a point estimate that was computed in the usual way?

where
$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} \Pr(\theta \mid D)$$

Prior Distributions as Bias

• Suppose I'm comparing two models, θ_1 and θ_2 such that

- **Question:** Which model has higher **posterior probability**? \bullet
- Priors are a way of encoding bias: they tell use which models to prefer when the data doesn't

 $Pr(D \mid \theta_1) = Pr(D \mid \theta_2)$

Priors for Pseudocounts

- Beta-Binomial and Dirichlet-Multinomial models
- E.g., for pseudocounts k_1 and k_0 ,

• We can straightforwardly encode pseudocounts as prior information in

 $p(\theta) = \text{Beta}(1 + k_1, 1 + k_0)$

- Some **regularizers** can be encoded as priors also
- L2 regularization is equivalent to a Gaussian prior on the weights: $p(w) = \mathcal{N}(w \mid m, s)$
- L1 regularization is equivalent to a Laplacian prior on the weights: $p(w) = \exp(|w|)/2$

Priors for Regularization



Summary

- Cross-validation is a powerful technique for selecting hyperparameters based on data
- In Bayesian Learning, we learn a distribution over models instead of a single model
- When the model is conjugate, posterior probabilities can be computed analytically
- We can make predictions by model averaging to compute the posterior predictive distribution
- The prior can encode bias over models, much the same as regularization