Monte Carlo Prediction & Control

CMPUT 261: Introduction to Artificial Intelligence

S&B §5.0-5.5, 5.7

Lecture Outline

1.	Recap & Logistics	After
		• ez
2.	Monte Carlo Prediction	• tr
0		• ez
3.	Estimating Action Values	• 0
4.	Monte Carlo Control	• 0
		• 0
5.	Importance Sampling	• ez
6	Off_Daliay Manta Carla Control	• d
U.		

- r this lecture, you should be able to:
- explain how Monte Carlo estimation for state values works
- race an execution of first-visit Monte Carlo Prediction
- explain the difference between prediction and control
- lefine on-policy vs. off-policy learning
- lefine a behaviour policy
- define exploring starts
- explain what problem exploring starts solve
- lefine an epsilon-soft policy
- explain what problem epsilon-soft policies solve



Logistics

• Assignment #4 is due Dec 6 at 11:59pm

- Late submissions for 20% deduction until Dec 8 at 11:59pm ullet
- **SPOT** (former USRI) surveys are now available: https://p20.courseval.net/etw/ets/et.asp?nxappid=UA2&nxmid=start

Recap: In-Place Iterative Policy Evaluation

Iterative Policy Evaluation, for estimating $V \approx v_{\pi}$

Input π , the policy to be evaluated Initialize V(s), for all $s \in S^+$, arbitrarily except that V(terminal) = 0Loop: $\Delta \leftarrow 0$ Loop for each $s \in S$: $v \leftarrow V(s)$ $V(s) \leftarrow \sum_{a} \pi(a|s) \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,a) \left[r + \gamma V(s') \right]$ $\Delta \leftarrow \max(\Delta, |v - V(s)|)$ until $\Delta < \theta$

of all possible next states

Algorithm parameter: a small threshold $\theta > 0$ determining accuracy of estimation

• These are **expected updates:** Based on a weighted average (expectation)

Recap: Policy Improvement Theorem

Theorem: Let π and π' be any pair of deterministic policies. If $q_{\pi}(s, \pi'(s)) \ge v_{\pi}(s) \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$, then $v_{\pi'}(s) \ge v_{\pi}(s) \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$.

If you are never worse off at any state by following π' for one step and then following π forever after, then following π' forever has a higher expected value at every state.

Recap: Policy Iteration

$$\pi_0 \xrightarrow{E} v_{\pi_0} \xrightarrow{I} \pi_1 \xrightarrow{E} v_{\pi_1} \xrightarrow{I} \pi_2 \xrightarrow{E} \cdots \xrightarrow{I} \pi_* \xrightarrow{E} v_*$$

- 1. Initialization $V(s) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\pi(s) \in \mathcal{A}(s)$ arbitrarily for all $s \in S$
- 2. Policy Evaluation Loop:

$$\begin{array}{l} \Delta \leftarrow 0\\ \text{Loop for each } s \in \mathbb{S}:\\ v \leftarrow V(s)\\ V(s) \leftarrow \sum_{s',r} p(s',r \,|\, s,\pi(s))\\ \Delta \leftarrow \max(\Delta,|v-V(s)|)\\ \text{until } \Delta < \theta \text{ (a small positive num})\end{array}$$

3. Policy Improvement policy-stable $\leftarrow true$ For each $s \in S$: old-action $\leftarrow \pi(s)$ $\pi(s) \leftarrow \operatorname{arg\,max}_{a} \sum_{s',r} p(s',r | s,a) [r + \gamma V(s')]$ If $old\text{-}action \neq \pi(s)$, then $policy\text{-}stable \leftarrow false$

Policy Iteration (using iterative policy evaluation) for estimating $\pi \approx \pi_*$

 $s))[r + \gamma V(s')]$

nber determining the accuracy of estimation)

If *policy-stable*, then stop and return $V \approx v_*$ and $\pi \approx \pi_*$; else go to 2

Recap: Value Iteration



Value Iteration, for estimating $\pi \approx \pi_*$

Algorithm parameter: a small threshold $\theta > 0$ determining accuracy of estimation Initialize V(s), for all $s \in S^+$, arbitrarily except that V(terminal) = 0

Loop: $\Delta \leftarrow 0$ $\begin{array}{|c|c|} Loop \text{ for each } s \in \mathbb{S}: \\ v \leftarrow V(s) \\ V(s) \leftarrow \max_a \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,a) \\ \Delta \leftarrow \max(\Delta,|v-V(s)|) \end{array}$ until $\Delta < \theta$ Output a deterministic policy, $\pi \approx \pi_*$, such that $\pi(s) = \operatorname{arg\,max}_{a} \sum_{s',r} p(s',r | s,a) \left[r + \gamma V(s') \right]$

Value iteration interleaves the estimation and improvement steps:

$$_{1} + \gamma v_{k}(S_{t+1}) | S_{t} = s, A_{t} = a]$$

$$s', r \mid s, a) \left[r + \gamma v_k(s') \right]$$

$$a) \left[r + \gamma V(s') \right]$$

Example: Blackjack

- Player gets two cards, dealer gets 1
- Player can hit (get a new card) as many times as they like, or stick (stop hitting)
- After the player is done, the dealer hits / sticks according to a fixed rule
- Whoever has the most points (sum of card values) wins
- But, if you have more than 21 points, you lose immediately ("bust")

Simulating Blackjack

- \bullet
- **Question:** Is it easy to **compute** the full **dynamics**? \bullet
- **Question:** Is it easy to run **iterative policy evaluation**? \bullet

Given a policy for the player, it is very easy to simulate a game of Blackjack

Experience vs. Expectation

- In order to compute expected updates, we need to know the exact probability of every possible transition
- Often we don't have access to the full probability distribution, but we do have access to **samples of experience**
 - 1. Actual experience: We want to learn based on interactions with a real environment, without knowing its dynamics
 - 2. Simulated experience: We can simulate the dynamics, but we don't have an explicit representation of transition probabilities, or there are too many states

Monte Carlo Estimation

 Instead of estimating expectations by a weighted sum over from the distribution:

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{x} f(x)x \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \quad \text{where } x_i \sim f$$

all possibilities, estimate expectation by averaging over a sample drawn

Monte Carlo Prediction

- Use a large sample of episodes generated by a policy π to estimate the state-values $v_{\pi}(s)$ for each state s
 - We will consider only episodic tasks for now
- Question: What is the return G_t for state $S_t = s$ in a given episode?
- We can estimate the expected return $v_{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}[G_t \mid S_t = s]$ by averaging the returns for that state in every episode containing a visit to s

First-visit Monte Carlo Prediction

First-visit MC prediction, for estimating $V \approx v_{\pi}$

Input: a policy π to be evaluated Initialize:

> $V(s) \in \mathbb{R}$, arbitrarily, for all $s \in S$ $Returns(s) \leftarrow an empty list, for all <math>s \in S$

Loop forever (for each episode): Generate an episode following $\pi: S_0, A_0, R_1, S_1, A_1, R_2, \dots, S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_T$ $G \leftarrow 0$ Loop for each step of episode, $t = T - 1, T - 2, \ldots, 0$: $G \leftarrow \gamma G + R_{t+1}$ Unless S_t appears in $S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_{t-1}$: Append G to $Returns(S_t)$

 $V(S_t) \leftarrow \operatorname{average}(Returns(S_t))$



Monte Carlo vs. Dynamic Programming

- - a state's estimate
- Monte Carlo estimate of each state's value is



• **Iterative policy evaluation** uses the estimates of the **next state's** value to update the value of this state

• Only needs to compute a **single transition** to update

independent from estimates of other states' values

Needs the **entire episode** to compute an update

• Can focus on evaluating a **subset of states** if desired

Control vs. Prediction

- **Prediction:** estimate the value of states and/or actions given some fixed policy π
- **Control:** estimate an **optimal policy**

- When we know the dynamics $p(s', r \mid s, a)$, an estimate of state values is sufficient to determine a good **policy**:
 - Choose the action that gives the best combination of reward and next-state value:

$$\hat{a}^* = \arg\max_{a \in \mathscr{A}} \sum_{s', r} p(s', r \mid s, a) [r + \gamma \hat{v}(s')]$$

- If we don't know the dynamics, state values are **not enough**
 - To estimate a good policy, we need an explicit estimate of action values

Estimating Action Values

Exploring Starts

- We can just run first-visit Monte Carlo and approximate the returns to each state-action pair
- Question: What do we do about state-action pairs that are never visited?
 - If the current policy π never selects an action a from a state s, then Monte Carlo can't estimate its value
- Exploring starts assumption:
 - Every episode starts at a random state-action pair S_0, A_0
 - Every pair has a positive probability of being selected for a start

Monte Carlo Conti

Monte Carlo control can be used for **policy iteration**





Monte Carlo Control with Exploring Starts

Monte Carlo ES (Exploring Starts), for estimating $\pi \approx \pi_*$

Initialize:

 $\pi(s) \in \mathcal{A}(s)$ (arbitrarily), for all s $Q(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}$ (arbitrarily), for all s $Returns(s, a) \leftarrow empty list, for al$

Loop forever (for each episode): Choose $S_0 \in S$, $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}(S_0)$ randomly such that all pairs have probability > 0 Generate an episode from S_0, A_0 , following $\pi: S_0, A_0, R_1, \ldots, S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_T$ $G \leftarrow 0$ Loop for each step of episode, t = $G \leftarrow \gamma G + R_{t+1}$ Unless the pair S_t, A_t appears Append G to $Returns(S_t,$ $Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow \operatorname{average}(Retu$

 $\pi(S_t) \leftarrow \operatorname{arg\,max}_a Q(S_t, a)$

Question: What **unlikely assumptions** does this rely upon?

$$\begin{array}{l} \in \mathbb{S} \\ \in \mathbb{S}, \ a \in \mathcal{A}(s) \\ \mathrm{ll} \ s \in \mathbb{S}, \ a \in \mathcal{A}(s) \end{array} \end{array}$$

$$T - 1, T - 2, \dots, 0$$
:

s in
$$S_0, A_0, S_1, A_1 \dots, S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}$$
:
, A_t)
 $erns(S_t, A_t)$)

- The exploring starts assumption requires that we see every state-action pair with positive probability
 - Even if π never chooses a from state s
- Another approach: Simply force π to (sometimes) choose a!
- An ϵ -soft policy is one for which $\pi(\epsilon)$
- **Example:** *c*-greedy policy \bullet

$$\pi(a \mid s) = \begin{cases} \frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{A}|} \\ (1 - \epsilon) + \epsilon \end{cases}$$

ε -Soft Policies

$$|a|s) \ge \frac{\epsilon}{|\mathscr{A}(s)|} \quad \forall s, a$$

if $a \notin \arg \max_a Q(s, a)$,

otherwise. \mathscr{A}

Monte Carlo Control w/out Exploring Starts

Algorithm parameter: small $\varepsilon > 0$ Initialize:

 $\pi \leftarrow$ an arbitrary ε -soft policy $Q(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}$ (arbitrarily), for all s $Returns(s, a) \leftarrow empty list, for all$

Repeat forever (for each episode): Generate an episode following π : S $G \leftarrow 0$ Loop for each step of episode, t = $G \leftarrow \gamma G + R_{t+1}$ Unless the pair S_t, A_t appears i Append G to $Returns(S_t, A)$ $Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow \operatorname{average}(Return$

 $A^* \leftarrow \operatorname{arg\,max}_a Q(S_t, a)$ For all $a \in \mathcal{A}(S_t)$:

 $\pi(a|S_t) \leftarrow \begin{cases} 1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon_t \\ \varepsilon/|\mathcal{A}(S_t)| \end{cases}$

On-policy first-visit MC control (for ε -soft policies), estimates $\pi \approx \pi_*$

$$S_0, A_0, R_1, \ldots, S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_T$$

$$T - 1, T - 2, \dots, 0$$
:

$$\inf_{\substack{s_t \\ s_t \\ s(S_t, A_t)}} S_0, S_1, A_1 \dots, S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}:$$

(with ties broken arbitrarily)

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathcal{A}(S_t)| & \text{if } a = A^* \\ &| & \text{if } a \neq A^* \end{aligned}$$

Monte Carlo Control w/out Exploring Starts

On-policy first-visit MC control (for ε -soft policies), estimates $\pi \approx \pi_*$

Algorithm parameter: small $\varepsilon > 0$ Initialize:

 $\pi \leftarrow$ an arbitrary ε -soft policy

 $Q(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}$ (arbitrarily), for all $s \in S$, $a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$ $Returns(s, a) \leftarrow \text{empty list, for all } s \in S, a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$

Repeat forever (for each episode): Generate an episode following $\pi: S_0, A_0, R_1, \ldots$ $G \leftarrow 0$

Loop for each step of episode, $t = T - 1, T - 2, \ldots, 0$: $G \leftarrow \gamma G + R_{t+1}$

Unless the pair S_t, A_t appears in $S_0, A_0, S_1, A_1, \ldots, S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}$: Append G to $Returns(S_t, A_t)$

 $Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow \operatorname{average}(Returns(S_t, A_t))$

 $A^* \leftarrow \operatorname{arg\,max}_a Q(S_t, a)$

For all $a \in \mathcal{A}(S_t)$:

$$\pi(a|S_t) \leftarrow \begin{cases} 1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon / |\mathcal{A}(S_t)| & \text{if } e \\ \varepsilon / |\mathcal{A}(S_t)| & \text{if } e \end{cases}$$

$$, S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_T$$

(with ties broken arbitrarily)

 $a = A^*$ $a \neq A^*$

Question:

Will this procedure converge to the **optimal** policy π^* ?

Why or why not?

Importance Sampling

- \bullet estimate expectations
- Importance sampling: Use samples from proposal distribution to \bullet

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{x} f(x)x = \sum_{x} \frac{g(x)}{g(x)} f(x)$$

Monte Carlo sampling: use samples from the target distribution to

estimate expectations of target distribution by reweighting samples

Off-Policy Prediction via Importance Sampling

Definition: learn about a distinct target policy. Target

Off-policy learning means using data generated by a **behaviour policy** to Proposal distribution distribution

Off-Policy Monte Carlo Prediction

- Generate episodes using **behaviour policy** b \bullet
- a visit to s to estimate $v_{\pi}(s)$
 - $S_t = s$ until the end of the episode:

$$\rho_{t:T-1} \doteq \frac{\Pr[A_t, S_{t+1}, \dots, S_T | S_t, A_{t:T-1} \sim \pi]}{\Pr[A_t, S_{t+1}, \dots, S_T | S_t, A_{t:T-1} \sim b]}$$

• Take weighted average of returns to state s over all the episodes containing

Weighed by importance sampling ratio of trajectory starting from

Importance Sampling Ratios for Trajectories

• •

Probability of a trajectory
$$A_t, S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}, \dots, S_T$$
 from S_t :

$$Pr[A_t, S_{t+1}, \dots, S_T | S_t, A_{t:T-1} \sim \pi] = \pi(A_t | S_t)p(S_{t+1} | S_t, A_t)\pi(A_{t+1} | S_{t+1})\dots p(S_T | S_{T-1}, A_{T-1})$$
Importance sampling ratio for a trajectory $A_t, S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}, \dots, S_T$ from S_t :

$$\rho_{t:T-1} \doteq \frac{\prod_{k=t}^{T-1} \pi(A_k | S_k) p(S_{k+1} | S_k, A_k)}{\prod_{k=t}^{T-1} b(A_k | S_k) p(S_{k+1} | S_k, A_k)} = \frac{\prod_{k=t}^{T-1} \pi(A_k | S_k)}{\prod_{k=t}^{T-1} b(A_k | S_k) p(S_{k+1} | S_k, A_k)} = 1$$

Ordinary vs.Weighted Importance Sampling

Ordinary importance sampling: \bullet

Weighted importance sampling: \bullet

 $V(s) \doteq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{t(s,i):T(i)-1} G_{i,t}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{t(s,i):T(i)-1}}$

Example: Ordinary vs. Weighted Importance Sampling for Blackjack

single blackjack state from off-policy episodes.

Figure 5.3: Weighted importance sampling produces lower error estimates of the value of a

(Image: Sutton & Barto, 2018)

Off-Policy Monte Carlo Prediction

Off-policy MC prediction (policy evaluation) for estimating $Q \approx q_{\pi}$

Input: an arbitrary target policy π Initialize, for all $s \in S$, $a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$: $Q(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}$ (arbitrarily) $C(s,a) \leftarrow 0$

Loop forever (for each episode): $b \leftarrow$ any policy with coverage of π Generate an episode following b: S $G \leftarrow 0$ $W \leftarrow 1$ Loop for each step of episode, $t = T - 1, T - 2, \ldots, 0$, while $W \neq 0$: $G \leftarrow \gamma G + R_{t+1}$ $C(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow C(S_t, A_t) + W$ $Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow Q(S_t, A_t) + \frac{W}{C(S_t, A_t)}$ $W \leftarrow W \frac{\pi(A_t|S_t)}{b(A_t|S_t)}$

$$S_0, A_0, R_1, \ldots, S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_T$$

$$\overline{A_t}\left[G - Q(S_t, A_t)\right]$$

Off-Policy Monte Carlo Control

Off-policy MC control, for estimating $\pi \approx \pi_*$

Initialize, for all $s \in S$, $a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$: $Q(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}$ (arbitrarily) $C(s,a) \leftarrow 0$ $\pi(s) \leftarrow \operatorname{arg\,max}_a Q(s, a)$ (with ties broken consistently) Loop forever (for each episode): $b \leftarrow any soft policy$ Generate an episode using b: S_0, A $G \leftarrow 0$ $W \leftarrow 1$ Loop for each step of episode, $t = T - 1, T - 2, \ldots, 0$: $G \leftarrow \gamma G + R_{t+1}$ $C(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow C(S_t, A_t) + W$ $Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow Q(S_t, A_t) + \frac{W}{C(S_t, A_t)} \left[G - Q(S_t, A_t) \right]$ $\pi(S_t) \leftarrow \operatorname{arg\,max}_a Q(S_t, a)$ (with ties broken consistently) If $A_t \neq \pi(S_t)$ then exit inner Loop (proceed to next episode) $W \leftarrow W \frac{1}{b(A_t|S_t)}$

$$A_0, R_1, \ldots, S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_T$$

Off-Policy Monte Carlo Control

Off-policy MC control, for estimating $\pi \approx \pi_*$

Questions:

- Will this procedure converge to the **optimal** policy π^* ?
- Why do we break when $A_t \neq \pi(S_t)$?
- Why do the 3. weights W not involve $\pi(A_t \mid S_t)$?

Summary

- Monte Carlo estimation estimates values by averaging returns over sample episodes \bullet
 - Does not require access to full model of dynamics
 - Does require access to an entire **episode** for each sample
- Estimating action values requires either exploring starts or a soft policy (e.g., ϵ -greedy)
- **Off-policy learning** is the estimation of value functions for a **target policy** based on \bullet episodes generated by a different **behaviour policy**
 - Importance sampling is one way to perform off-policy learning
 - Weighted importance sampling has lower variance than ordinary importance sampling
- behaviour policy

Off-policy control is learning the optimal policy (target policy) using episodes from a