## Heuristic Search

CMPUT 261: Introduction to Artificial Intelligence

P&M §3.6

# Logistics

- TA office hours begin this week
  - Including a quick Python refresher
  - See eClass page for times and meeting links
- Assignment #1 released later today  $\bullet$ 
  - Download from (and submit on) eClass •
    - Due: Tuesday, September 27 at 11:59pm

## Lecture Outline

- Logistics
- Heuristics 2.
- 3. A\* Search

### After this lecture, you should be able to:

- Implement and demonstrate the operation of A<sup>\*</sup> search on a graph
- Identify whether a heuristic is admissible
- Construct an admissible heuristic for an arbitrary search problem
- Identify whether one heuristic dominates another
- Construct a dominating heuristic for a set of given heuristics
- Explain when a heuristic will allow more efficient exploration  $\bullet$

# Recap: Uninformed Search

Different search strategies have different properties and behaviour

- **Depth first search** is space-efficient but not always complete or time-efficient
- **Breadth first search** is complete and always finds the shortest path to a goal, but is not space-efficient
- **Iterative deepening search** can provide the benefits of both, at the expense of some time-efficiency
- All three strategies must potentially expand every node, and are not guaranteed to return an optimal solution
- Least cost first search is optimal (under some conditions), but still must potentially expand every node

### Recap: Iterative Deepening Search

**Input:** a *graph*; a set of *start nodes*; a *goal* function

for max\_depth from 1 to  $\infty$ : *more\_nodes* := False frontier :=  $\{\langle s \rangle \mid s \text{ is a start node}\}$ while *frontier* is not empty: **remove**  $\langle n_0, \ldots, n_k \rangle$  from *frontier* if  $goal(n_k)$ : return  $\langle n_0, \ldots, n_k \rangle$ if k < max\_depth: for each neighbour n of  $n_k$ : add  $\langle n_0, \ldots, n_k, n \rangle$  to frontier else if  $n_k$  has neighbours: *more nodes* := True end-while if more\_nodes = False: return None

select the newest path  $\langle n_0, ..., n_k \rangle$  from *frontier* 

### Bonus: Time Complexity of Iterated Deepening Search

- Breadth-first search requires  $O(b^m)$  time, because in the worst case it visits every path once
- Iterative deepening search has worse time complexity, because it visits every path at least once, and many paths multiple times.
- But **how much** worse?

(i.e., *m* times worse than breadth first search)

1. Paths of length 1 are visited m times; paths of length 2 are visited m-1times; ...; paths of length m are visited 1 time.

2. In other words, every path is visited *m* times or fewer

**Note:** This is a very **loose bound**. See the text for a much tighter bound.

**Claim:** Iterated deepening search has time complexity no worse than  $O(mb^m)$ 

### **Definition:**

An algorithm is **optimal** if it is guaranteed to return an optimal (i.e., **minimal-cost**) solution **first**.

- $\bullet$ not optimal (why?)
- $\bullet$ arc costs)

# Recap: Optimality

Depth-first search, breadth-first search, iterative deepening search are

Least-cost first search is optimal (*if* there is a positive lower bound on

# Recap: Search Strategies

	Depth First	Breadth First	Iterative Deepening	Least Cost First
Selection	Newest	Oldest	Newest, multiple	Cheapest
Data structure	Stack	Queue	Stack, counter	Priority queue
<b>Complete?</b>	Finite graphs only	Complete	Complete	Complete if $cost(p) > \varepsilon$
Space complexity	O(mb)	<b>O(b</b> <sup>m</sup> )	O(mb)	<b>O(b</b> <sup>m</sup> )
Time complexity	<b>O(b</b> <sup>m</sup> )	<b>O(b</b> <sup>m</sup> )	O(mb <sup>m</sup> ) **	<b>O(b</b> <sup>m</sup> )
<b>Optimal?</b>	No	No	No	Optimal

- Domain-specific knowledge can help speed up search by identifying promising directions to explore
- which estimates the cost to get from a node to a goal node
- The search algorithms in this lecture take account of this heuristic knowledge when **selecting** a path from the frontier

## Domain Knowledge

We will encode this knowledge in a function called a heuristic function

## Heuristic Function

### **Definition:**

- For paths:  $h(\langle n_0, \ldots, n_k \rangle) = h(n_k)$
- Uses only readily-available information about a node (i.e., easy to compute)
- **Problem-specific**

A heuristic function is a function h(n) that returns a non-negative estimate of the cost of the cheapest path from node n to a goal node.

## Admissible Heuristic

### **Definition:**

cost of the cheapest path from *n* to any goal node.

- i.e., h(n) is a lower bound on  $cost(\langle n, ..., g \rangle)$  for any goal node g
- A heuristic function is **admissible** if h(n) is **always less than or equal** to the

# Example Heuristics

- Number of dirty rooms for VacuumBot (ignores the need to move between rooms)
- Euclidean distance for DeliveryBot (ignores that it can't go through walls)
- **Points** for chess pieces (ignores positional strength)
- **Farmer** problem?

**Question:** Which of these heuristics are **admissible**? Why?



r129 r127 r125 r123 r121 r119 /r117 lab3 lab4 Ą lab1 lab2 r113 stairsr101 r103 r105 r107 r109 r111



### Constructing Admissible Heuristics

- Search problems try to find a cost-minimizing path, subject to constraints encoded in the search graph
- How to construct an easier problem? Drop some constraints.
  - This is called a **relaxation** of the original problem
- The cost of the optimal solution to the relaxation will always be an admissible heuristic for the original problem (Why?)
- Neat trick: If you have two admissible heuristics  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ , then  $h_3(n) = \max\{h_1(n), h_2(n)\}$  is admissible too! (Why?)

# Simple Uses of Heuristics

- Heuristic depth first search: Add neighbours to the frontier in decreasing order of their heuristic values, then run depth first search as usual
  - Will explore most promising successors first, but
  - Still explores all paths through a successor before considering other successors
  - Not complete, not optimal
- Greedy best first search: Select path from the frontier with the lowest heuristic value
  - Not guaranteed to work any better than breadth first search (why?)

### A\* Search

- A\* search uses **both** path cost information and heuristic information to select paths from the frontier
- Let  $f(p) = \operatorname{cost}(p) + h(p)$ 
  - f(p) estimates the total cost to the nearest goal node starting from p
- A\* removes paths from the frontier with smallest f(p)
- When *h* is admissible,  $p^* = \langle s, ..., n, ..., g \rangle$  is a solution, and  $p = \langle s, ..., n \rangle$  is a prefix of  $p^*$ :
  - $f(p) \leq \operatorname{cost}(p^*)$  (why?)



**Input:** a graph; a set of start nodes; a goal function

frontier :=  $\{\langle s \rangle \mid s \text{ is a start node}\}$ while *frontier* is not empty: select *f*-minimizing path  $\langle n_0, \ldots, n_k \rangle$  from *frontier* **remove**  $\langle n_0, ..., n_k \rangle$  from *frontier* if  $goal(n_k)$ : return  $\langle n_0, \ldots, n_k \rangle$ for each neighbour n of  $n_k$ : add  $\langle n_0, \ldots, n_k, n \rangle$  to frontier end while

A\* Search Algorithm



### **Question:**

What data structure for the frontier implements this search strategy?



- Heuristic: Euclidean distance
- **Question:** What is  $f(\langle o103, b3 \rangle)$ ? f((o103,o109))?
- A\* will spend a bit of time exploring paths in the labs before trying to go around via o109
- At that point the heuristic starts helping more
- **Question:** Does breadth-first search explore paths in the lab too?
- **Question:** Does breadth-first search explore any paths that A\* does not?



# A\* Optimality

### **Theorem:**

If there is a solution of finite cost, A<sup>\*</sup> using heuristic function h always returns an **optimal** solution (in **finite time**), if

- The branching factor is **finite**, and
- 2. All arc costs are greater than some  $\epsilon > 0$ , and
- 3. h is an **admissible** heuristic.

### **Proof:**

- No suboptimal solution will be removed from the frontier whenever the frontier contains a prefix of the optimal solution
- 2. The optimal solution is guaranteed to be removed from the frontier eventually

## A\* Optimality Proofs: A Lexicon

An admissible heuristic: h(n) $f(\langle n_0, \dots, n_k \rangle) = \operatorname{cost}(\langle n_0, \dots, n_k \rangle) + h(n_k)$ A start node: S A goal node: z (i.e., goal(z) = 1) The optimal solution:  $p^* = \langle s, ..., a, b, ..., z \rangle$ A prefix of the optimal solution:  $p' = \langle s, ..., a \rangle$ A suboptimal solution:  $g = \langle s, q, ..., z \rangle$ 

# A\* Optimality

**Proof part 1:** Optimality (no g is removed before  $p^*$ )

- 1.  $f(g) = \operatorname{cost}(g)$  and  $f(p^*) = \operatorname{cost}(p^*)$ 
  - (i)  $f(\langle n_0, ..., n_k \rangle) = cost(\langle n_0, ..., n_k \rangle) + h(n_k)$ , and h(z) = 0

2. f(p') < f(g)

- (i)  $f(\langle s, ..., a \rangle) = cost(\langle s, ..., a \rangle) + h(a)$
- (iii)  $h(a) \leq \operatorname{cost}(\langle a, b, \dots, z \rangle)$
- (iv)  $f(p') \le f(p^*) < f(g)$

An admissible heuristic: h(n) $f(\langle n_0, \dots, n_k \rangle) = \operatorname{cost}(\langle n_0, \dots, n_k \rangle) + h(n_k)$ A start node: s A goal node: z (i.e., goal(z) = 1) The optimal solution:  $p^* = \langle s, ..., a, b, ..., z \rangle$ A **prefix** of the optimal solution:  $p' = \langle s, ..., a \rangle$ A suboptimal solution:  $g = \langle s, q, ..., z \rangle$ 

### (ii) $f(\langle s, ..., a, b, ..., z \rangle) = cost(\langle s, ..., a, b, ..., z \rangle) + h(z) = cost(\langle s, ..., a \rangle) + cost(a, b, ..., z \rangle)$





## A\* Completeness

### **Proof part 2:** A\* is **complete**

- Every path that is removed from the frontier is only replaced by more-costly paths (**why?**)
- Since individual arc costs are larger than  $\epsilon$ , every path in the frontier will eventually have cost larger than k, for any finite k

• Every path with at least — arcs will have cost larger than k

 $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ 

- So every path in the frontier will eventually have cost larger than the cost of the optimal solution
- So the optimal solution will eventually be removed from the frontier
- Question: Why are we talking about costs and not *f*-values?

An admissible heuristic: h(n) $f(\langle n_0, \dots, n_k \rangle) = \operatorname{cost}(\langle n_0, \dots, n_k \rangle) + h(n_k)$ A start node: *s* A goal node: z (i.e., goal(z) = 1) The optimal solution:  $p^* = \langle s, ..., a, b, ..., z \rangle$ A **prefix** of the optimal solution:  $p' = \langle s, ..., a \rangle$ A suboptimal solution:  $g = \langle s, q, ..., z \rangle$ 



## Comparing Heuristics

- Suppose that we have two **admissible** heuristics,  $h_1$  and  $h_2$
- Suppose that for every node n,  $h_2(n) \ge h_1(n)$

**Question:** Which heuristic is better for search (with A\*)?

## Dominating Heuristics

### **Definition:**

A heuristic  $h_2$  dominates a heuristic  $h_1$  if

- 1.  $\forall n : h_2(n) \ge h_1(n)$ , and
- 2.  $\exists n : h_2(n) > h_1(n)$ .

### Theorem:

If  $h_2$  dominates  $h_1$ , and both heuristics are admissible, then A<sup>\*</sup> using  $h_2$  will never remove more paths from the frontier than A<sup>\*</sup> using  $h_1$ .

• i.e., better heuristics remove weakly fewer paths

### **Question:**

Which admissible heuristic dominates **all other** admissible heuristics?

For a search graph with *finite* maximum branch factor b and *finite* maximum path length *m...* 

- What is the worst-case **space complexity** of A\*? [A: O(m)] [B: O(mb)] [C:  $O(b^m)$ ] [D: it depends]
- 2. What is the worst-case time complexity of A\*? [A: O(m)] [B: O(mb)] [C:  $O(b^m)$ ] [D: it depends]

search, then what is its advantage?

## A\* Analysis

**Question:** If A\* has the same space and time complexity as least cost first

# Summary

- Domain knowledge can help speed up graph search
- Domain knowledge can be expressed by a heuristic function, which estimates the cost of a path to the goal from a node
- Admissible heuristics can be built from relaxations of the original problem
- Simple uses of heuristics do not guarantee improved performance
- A\* algorithm for (optimal) use of admissible heuristics