Probability Theory

CMPUT 366: Intelligent Systems

P&M §8.1

Logistics & Assignment #1

- **Midterm** is **March 9** (see eClass for other important dates)
- Assignment #1 was released on Monday See eClass
- Due February 3 before lecture at 11:59pm
- • This week's lab: **Thursday, 5:00pm to 8:00pm**, <u>BS M 149</u> (this room!)
 - Not mandatory
 - You can get help from the TAs on your assignment in labs
 - Brief Python refresher at the beginning

Recap: Search

- Agent searches internal representation to find solution
- Fully-observable, deterministic, offline, single-agent problems
- Graph search finds a sequence of actions to a goal node
 - Efficiency gains from using heuristic functions to encode domain knowledge
- Local search finds a goal node by repeatedly making small changes to the current state
 - Random steps and random restarts help handle local optima, completeness

Lecture Outline

- 1. Recap
- 2. Uncertainty
- 3. Probability Semantics
- 4. Conditional Probability
- 5. Expected Value

Uncertainty

- lacksquare
- according to those assumptions
- Knowledge is **uncertain**:
 - Must consider **multiple** hypotheses

In search problems, agent has perfect knowledge of the world and its dynamics

In most applications, an agent cannot just make assumptions and then act

Must update beliefs about which hypotheses are likely given observations

Example: Wearing a Seatbelt

- An agent has to decide between three actions:
 - 1. Drive without wearing a seatbelt
 - 2. Drive while wearing a seatbelt
 - 3. Stay home
- If the agent thinks that an accident will happen, it will just stay home
- If the agent thinks that an accident will not happen, it will not bother to wear a seatbelt!
- Wearing a seatbelt only makes sense because the agent is uncertain about whether driving will lead to an accident.

Measuring Uncertainty

- Probability is a way of measuring uncertainty
- We assign a number between 0 and 1 to events (hypotheses):
 - 0 means absolutely certain that statement is false
 - 1 means absolutely certain that statement is true
 - Intermediate values mean more or less certain
- Probability is a measurement of uncertainty, not truth
 - A statement with probability .75 is not "mostly true"
 - Rather, we believe it is more likely to be true than not

Subjective vs. Objective: The Frequentist Perspective

- Probabilities can be interpreted as objective statements about the world, or as subjective statements about an agent's beliefs.
- Objective view is called **frequentist:**
 - The probability of an event is the proportion of times it would happen in the long run of repeated experiments
 - Every event has a single, true probability
 - Events that can only happen once don't have a well-defined probability

Subjective vs. Objective: The Bayesian Perspective

- Probabilities can be interpreted as objective statements about the world, or as subjective statements about an agent's beliefs.
- Subjective view is called **Bayesian**:
 - The probability of an event is a measure of an agent's belief about its likelihood
 - Different agents can legitimately have different beliefs, so they can legitimately assign different probabilities to the same event
 - There is only one way to update those beliefs in response to new data
- In this course, we will primarily take the **Bayesian** view

Example: Dice

- Diane rolls a fair, six-sided die, and gets the number X
 - Question: What is P(X = 5)? (the probability that Diane rolled a 5)
- Diane truthfully tells Oliver that she rolled an odd number.
 - Question: What should Oliver believe P(X = 5) is?
- Diane truthfully tells Greta that she rolled a number ≥ 5 .
 - Question: What should Greta believe P(X = 5) is?
- Question: What is P(X = 5)?

Semantics: Possible Worlds

- Random variables take values from a domain.
 We will write them as uppercase letters (e.g., X, Y, D, etc.)
- A possible world is a complete assignment of values to variables We will usually write a single "world" as ω and the set of all possible worlds as Ω
- A **probability measure** is a function $P: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ over **possible worlds** ω satisfying:

1.
$$\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} P(\omega) = 1$$

2. $P(\omega) \ge 0 \ \forall \omega \in \Omega$

Propositions

- A **primitive proposition** is an equality or inequality expression E.g., X = 5 or $X \ge 4$
- A proposition is built up from other propositions using logical connectives. E.g., $(X = 1 \lor X = 3 \lor X = 5)$
- The **probability** of a proposition is the sum of the probabilities of the possible worlds in which that ulletproposition is true:

 $P(\alpha)$

Therefore: ullet

$$= \sum_{\omega:\omega\models\alpha} P(\omega) \qquad \omega\models\alpha \text{ means } "\alpha \text{ is true in } \omega"$$

- $P(\alpha \lor \beta) \ge P(\alpha)$ $P(\alpha \land \beta) \leq P(\alpha)$ $P(\neg \alpha) = 1 - P(\alpha)$
- $\alpha \lor \beta$ means " α OR β " $\alpha \wedge \beta$ means " α AND β " $\neg \alpha$ means "NOT α "

Joint Distributions

- In our dice example, there was a single random variable
- We typically want to think about the interactions of multiple random variables
- A **joint distribution** assigns a probability to each full assignment of values to variables
 - e.g., P(X = 1, Y = 5). Equivalent to $P(X 1 \land Y = 5)$
 - Can view this as another way of specifying a single possible world

Joint Distribution Example

- What might a day be like in Edmonton? Random variables:
 - Weather, with domain {clear, snowing}
 - Temperature, with domain {mild, cold, very_cold}
- Joint distribution P(Weather, Temperature):

Weather	Temperature	Ρ
clear	mild	0.20
clear	cold	0.30
clear	very cold	0.25
snowing	mild	0.05
snowing	cold	0.10
snowing	very cold	0.10

Marginalization

- **Marginalization** is using a joint distribution $P(X_1, ..., X_m, ..., X_n)$ to compute a distribution over a smaller number of variables $P(X_1, ..., X_m)$
 - Smaller distribution is called the marginal distribution of its variables
- We compute the marginal distribution by summing out the other variables:

$$P(X, Y) = \sum_{z \in dom(Z)} P(X, Y, Z = z)$$

Question:

What is the marginal distribution of Weather?

Weather	Temperature	Ρ
clear	mild	0.20
clear	cold	0.30
clear	very cold	0.25
snowing	mild	0.05
snowing	cold	0.10
snowing	very cold	0.10



Conditional Probability

- This process is called conditioning
- observed evidence e"
 - $P(h \mid e)$ is the probability of h conditional on e

Agents need to be able to update their beliefs based on new observations

• We write $P(h \mid e)$ to denote "probability of hypothesis h given that we have

Semantics of Conditional Probability

- Evidence *e* lets us rule out all of the worlds that are incompatible with *e*
 - probability to the worlds in which it is snowing
 - the probabilities of possible worlds sum to 1

$$P(\omega \mid e) = \begin{cases} c \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

• E.g., if I observe that the weather is clear, I should no longer assign any

• We need to **normalize** the probabilities of the remaining worlds to ensure that

 $\times P(w) = e, e, e$ (e)otharwise ise.

Conditional Probability Example

- My initial marginal belief about the weather was: P(Weather = snow) = 0.25
- Suppose I observe that the temperature is **mild**.
 - **Question:** What should I now believe about the weather?
- 1. Rule out incompatible worlds
- 2. Normalize remaining probabilities

Weather		Ρ
clear	.20 / (.20	+ .05) = <mark>0.8</mark>
snowing	.05 / (.20 + .05) = 0.2	
	very cold	0.25
snowing	mild	0.05
- snowing	cold	
-snowing	very cold	0.10

Chain Rule

Definition: conditional probability

 $P(h \mid e$

• We can run this **in reverse** to get P(h, e) =

Definition: chain rule

$$P(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = P(\alpha_1) \times P(\alpha_1) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(\alpha_i \mid \alpha_i)$$

$$e) = \frac{P(h, e)}{P(e)}$$

$P(h, e) = P(h \mid e) \times P(e)$

 $\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{\alpha}_2 \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1) \times \cdots \times P(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_n \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n-1}) \\ & \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i-1}) \end{aligned}$

Bayes' Rule

From the chain rule, we have


• Often, $P(e \mid h)$ is easier to compute than $P(h \mid e)$.

Bayes' Rule:

Posterior

 $P(h \mid e) =$

 $P(h, e) = P(h \mid e)P(e)$ $= P(e \mid h)P(h)$



Expected Value

• The **expected value** of a function f on a random variable is the weighted the **probability** of each value:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(X)\right] =$$

 $x \in C$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(X) \mid Y = y\right] =$$


 $x \in$

average of that function over the domain of the random variable, weighted by

$$\sum_{dom(X)} P(X = x)f(x)$$


• The conditional expected value of a function f is the average value of the function over the domain, weighted by the conditional probability of each value:

$$\sum_{x \in Om(X)} P(X = x \mid Y = y)f(x)$$



 $\mathbb{E}[X] = 3$ $\mathbb{E}[X^2] \simeq 10$

Expected Value Examples



 $\mathbb{E}[X] = 3$ $\mathbb{E}[X^2] \simeq 12$

Summary

- **Probability** is a **numerical** measure of **uncertainty**
- Formal semantics:
 - Weights over **possible worlds** sum to 1
 - Probability of a proposition is total weight of possible worlds in which that proposition is true
- Conditional probability updates beliefs based on evidence
- Expected value of a function is its probability-weighted average over possible worlds