### Inference in Belief Networks

CMPUT 366: Intelligent Systems

P&M §8.4

### Lecture Outline

- 1. Recap
- 2. Factors
- 3. Variable Elimination
- 4. Efficiency

### Recap: Belief Networks

### **Definition:**

A belief network (or Bayesian network) consists of:

- variable
- 2. A **domain** for each random variable

- **Semantics:** parents

1. A directed acyclic graph, with each node labelled by a random

3. A conditional probability table for each variable given its parents

• The graph represents a specific **factorization** of the full **joint distribution** 

Every node is **independent** of its **non-descendants**, **conditional** on its

### Recap: Queries

- The most common task for a belief network is to query posterior probabilities given some observations
- Easy cases:
  - Posteriors of a single variable conditional only on parents
  - Joint distributions of variables early in a compatible variable ordering
- Typically, the observations have no straightforward relationship to the target
- This lecture: mechanical procedure for computing arbitrary queries



### Factors

- The Variable Elimination algorithm exploits the factorization of a joint
- network's chain rule decomposition.

Pr(Leaving|Alarm)Pr(Smoke|Fire)Pr(Alarm|Tampering,Fire)Pr(Tampering)Pr(Fire)

becomes

 $f_1$ (Leaving, Alarm) $f_2$ (Smoke, Fire) $f_3$ (Alarm, Tampering, Fire) $f_4$ (Tampering) $f_5$ (Fire)

**Output:** A new factor encoding the target posterior distribution

probability distribution encoded by a belief network in order to answer queries

• A factor is a function  $f(X_1, \dots, X_k)$  from random variables to a real number

**Input:** factors representing the **conditional probability tables** from the belief

### Conditional Probabilities as Factors

constraint:

 $\forall v_1 \in dom(X_1), v_2 \in dom(X_2), \dots, v_n$ 

- factors
  - ullet
  - Solution: **Don't sweat it**!
  - $\bullet$

• A conditional probability  $P(Y | X_1, ..., X_n)$  is a factor  $f(Y, X_1, ..., X_n)$  that obeys the

$$v_n \in dom(X_n) : \left[\sum_{y \in dom(Y)} f(y, v_1, \dots, v_n)\right] = 1$$

• Answer to a query is a factor **constructed by applying operations** to the input

Operations on factors are not guaranteed to **maintain** this constraint!

Operate on **unnormalized probabilities** during the computation

• **Normalize** at the end of the algorithm to re-impose the constraint

# Conditioning

- **Conditioning** is an operation on a **single factor** 
  - Constructs a **new factor** that returns the values of the original ulletfactor with some of its inputs fixed

**Definition:** For a factor  $f_1(X_1,...,X_k)$ , **conditioning on X\_i = v\_i** yields a new factor

$$f_2(X_1,...,X_{i-1},X_{i+1},...,X_k) = (f_1)_{X_i=V_i}$$

such that for all values  $V_1, \ldots, V_{i-1}, V_{i+1}, \ldots, V_k$  in the domain of  $X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_k$ 

 $f_2(V_1,\ldots,V_{i-1},V_{i+1},\ldots,V_k)$ 

$$f_{i}(V_{1},\ldots,V_{i-1},V_{i+1},\ldots,V_{k}).$$

### Conditioning Example

 $f_2(A,B) = f_1(A,B,C)_{C=\text{true}}$ 

| Α | В | С | value |
|---|---|---|-------|
| F | F | F | 0.1   |
| F | F | Т | 0.88  |
| F | Т | F | 0.12  |
| F | Т | Т | 0.45  |
| Т | F | F | 0.7   |
| Т | F | Т | 0.66  |
| Т | Т | F | 0.1   |
| Т | Τ | T | 0.25  |

| Α | В | value |
|---|---|-------|
| F | F | 0.88  |
| F | Т | 0.45  |
| Т | F | 0.66  |
| Т | Т | 0.25  |

# Multiplication

- Multiplication is an operation on two factors lacksquare
  - $\bullet$ selected from each factor by its arguments

**Definition:** For two factors  $f_1(X_1,...,X_l,Y_1,...,Y_k)$  and  $f_2(Y_1,...,Y_k,Z_1,...,Z_l)$ , multiplication of *f*<sub>1</sub> and *f*<sub>2</sub> yields a new factor

such that for all values  $X_1, \ldots, X_i, Y_1, \ldots, Y_k, Z_1, \ldots, Z_\ell$ ,

$$f_{3}(x_{1},\ldots,x_{j},y_{1},\ldots,y_{k},Z_{1},\ldots,Z_{\ell}) =$$

Constructs a new factor that returns the **product** of the rows

 $(f_1 \times f_2) = f_3(X_1, \dots, X_l, Y_1, \dots, Y_k, Z_1, \dots, Z_\ell)$ 

 $f_1(X_1,\ldots,X_i,V_1,\ldots,V_k)f_2(V_1,\ldots,V_k,Z_1,\ldots,Z_\ell)$ 

### Multiplication Example

|  | В | С | valı |
|--|---|---|------|
|  | F | F | 1.(  |
|  | F | Т | 0    |
|  | Т | F | 0.   |
|  | Т | Т | 0.2  |

| Α | В | value |  |
|---|---|-------|--|
| F | F | 0.1   |  |
| F | Т | 0.2   |  |
| Т | F | 0.3   |  |
| Т | Т | 0.4   |  |

### $f_3(A,B,C) = f_1(A,B) \times f_2(B,C)$



| Α | В | С | value |  |
|---|---|---|-------|--|
| F | F | F | 0.1   |  |
| F | F | Т | 0     |  |
| F | Т | F | 0.1   |  |
| F | Т | Т | 0.05  |  |
| Т | F | F | 0.3   |  |
| Т | F | Т | 0     |  |
| Т | Т | F | 0.2   |  |
| Т | Т | Т | 0.1   |  |

# Summing Out

- Summing out is an operation on a single factor
  - Constructs a new factor that returns the **sum over all values** of a random variable of the original factor

**Definition:** For a factor  $f_1(X_1,...,X_k)$ , summing G

 $f_2(X_1, ..., X_{i-1}, X_{i-1})$ 

such that for all values  $V_1, \ldots, V_{i-1}, V_{i+1}, \ldots, V_k$  in the domain of  $X_1, \ldots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \ldots, X_k$ ,

 $f_2(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_k) =$ 

For a factor  $f_1(X_1,...,X_k)$ , summing out a variable  $X_i$  yields a new factor

$$X_{i+1}, \dots, X_k) = \left(\sum_{X_i} f_1\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{v}_i \in dom(X_i)} (v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, \mathbf{v}_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_k)$$

### Summing Out Example

| Α | В | value |  |
|---|---|-------|--|
| F | F | 0.1   |  |
| F | Т | 0.2   |  |
| Т | F | 0.3   |  |
| Т | Т | 0.4   |  |

 $f_2(B) = \sum_A f_1(A, B)$ 

| В | value |
|---|-------|
| F | 0.4   |
| Τ | 0.6   |

Given

$$P(Q \mid Y_1 = v_1, ..., Y_k = v_k) = \frac{P(Q, Y_1 = v_1, ..., Y_k = v_k)}{\sum_{q \in dom(Q)} P(Q = q, Y_1 = v_1, ..., Y_k = v_k)}$$

- Basic idea of variable elimination:
  - 1. Condition on observations by **conditioning**
  - Construct joint distribution factor by **multiplication**
  - З.
  - 4. Normalize at the end
- Doing these steps in order is **correct** but not **efficient**
- Efficiency comes from **interleaving** the order of operations  $\bullet$

### Variable Elimination

Remove unwanted variables (neither query nor observed) by summing out

### Sums of Products

- Construct joint distribution factor by multiplication
- Remove unwanted variables (neither query nor observed) by summing out

computing **sums** of **products** 

- 1.  $f_3(Q, A, B, C, D, E) = f_1(Q, A, B, C) \times f_2(C, D, E) : 2^6$  multiplications
- 2.  $f_4(Q, A, B) = \sum f_3(Q, A, B, C, D, E) : \sim 2^3$  additions A,E

Total: about 72 computations

- The computationally intensive part of variable elimination is
- **Example**: multiply factors  $f_1(Q, A, B, C)$ ,  $f_2(C, D, E)$ ; sum out A, E

### Efficient Sums of Products

order.

$$\sum_{A} \sum_{E} f_1(Q, A, B, C) \times f_2(C, D, E)$$
$$= \left(\sum_{A} f_1(Q, A, B, C)\right) \times \left(\sum_{E} f_2(C, D, E)\right)$$

1. 
$$f_3(C, D) = \sum_{E} f_2(C, D, E) : \sim 2$$
  
2.  $f_4(Q, B, C) = \sum_{A} f_1(Q, A, B, C)$   
3.  $f_5(Q, B, C, D) = f_3(Q, B, C) \times f_4$ 

Total: about 28 computations

- We can reduce the number of computations required by changing their

- <sup>2</sup> additions
- $: \sim 2^3$  additions
- $(B, C, D) : 2^4$  multiplications

### Variable Elimination Algorithm

conditional probability tables

Fs := Psfor each X in Vs  $\setminus$  {Q} according to some elimination ordering:  $Rs = \{ F \text{ in } Fs \mid F \text{ involves } X \}$ if X is observed: for each *F* in *R*s: F' = F conditioned on observed value of X  $Fs = Fs \setminus \{F\} \cup \{F'\}$ else: T :=**product** of factors in Rs $N := \operatorname{sum} X$  out of T  $Fs := Fs \setminus Rs \cup \{N\}$ T := **product** of factors in Fs N :=**sum** Q out of Treturn T / N

**Input:** query variable Q; set of variables Vs; observations O; factors Ps representing

# Conditioning

**Query:** P(Tampering | Smoke=true, Report=true) Variable ordering: Smoke, Report, Fire, Alarm, Leaving

P(Tampering, Fire, Alarm, Smoke, Leaving, Report) = P(Tampering)P(Fire)P(Alarm|Tampering,Fire)P(Smoke|Fire)P(Leaving|Alarm)P(Report|Leaving)

Construct **factors** for each table: {  $f_0$ (Tampering),  $f_1$ (Fire),  $f_2$ (Tampering, Alarm, Fire),  $f_3$ (Smoke, Fire),  $f_4$ (Leaving, Alarm),  $f_5$ (Report, Leaving) }

**Condition** on Smoke:  $f_6 = (f_3)_{\text{Smoke}=\text{true}}$ {  $f_0$ (Tampering),  $f_1$ (Fire),  $f_2$ (Tampering, Alarm, Fire),  $f_6$ (Fire),  $f_4$ (Leaving, Alarm),  $f_5$ (Report, Leaving) }

**Condition** on Report:  $f_7 = (f_5)_{\text{Report=true}}$ { f<sub>0</sub>(Tampering), f<sub>1</sub>(Fire), f<sub>2</sub>(Tampering,Alarm,Fire), f<sub>6</sub>(Fire), f<sub>4</sub>(Leaving,Alarm), f<sub>7</sub>(Leaving) }



| ke | $\mathbf{)}$ |
|----|--------------|
|    |              |

### Variable Elimination Example: Fire Elimination Alarm Smok Leaving

**Query:** P(Tampering | Smoke=true, Report=true) Variable ordering: Smoke, Report, Fire, Alarm, Leaving {  $f_0$ (Tampering),  $f_1$ (Fire),  $f_2$ (Tampering, Alarm, Fire),  $f_6$ (Fire),  $f_4$ (Leaving, Alarm),  $f_7$ (Leaving) }

**Sum out** Fire from **product** of  $f_1, f_2, f_6$ :  $f_8 = \sum_{\text{Fire}} (f_1 \times f_2 \times f_6)$ {  $f_0$ (Tampering),  $f_8$ (Tampering, Alarm),  $f_4$ (Leaving, Alarm),  $f_7$ (Leaving) }

Sum out Alarm from product of  $f_8$ ,  $f_4$ :  $f_9 = \sum_{\text{Alarm}} (f_8 \times f_4)$ { f<sub>0</sub>(Tampering), f<sub>9</sub>(Tampering, Leaving), f<sub>7</sub>(Leaving) }

Sum out Leaving from product of  $f_9$ ,  $f_7$ :  $f_{10} = \sum_{\text{Leaving}} (f_9 \times f_7)$ {  $f_0$ (Tampering),  $f_{10}$ (Tampering) }

| ke | $\mathbf{)}$ |
|----|--------------|
|    |              |

### Variable Elimination Example: Fire Normalization Alarm Smok Leaving

**Query:** P(Tampering | Smoke=true, Report=true) Variable ordering: Smoke, Report, Fire, Alarm, Leaving {  $f_0$ (Tampering),  $f_{10}$ (Tampering) }

**Product** of remaining factors:  $f_{11} = f_0 \times f_{10}$ {  $f_{11}$ (Tampering) }

**Normalize** by division: query(Tampering) =  $f_{11}$ (Tampering) / ( $\sum$  Tampering  $f_{11}$ (Tampering))

| ke | $\mathbf{)}$ |
|----|--------------|
|    |              |

### Optimizing Elimination Order

- Variable elimination exploits efficient sums of products on a factored joint distribution
- The elimination order of the variables affects the efficiency of the algorithm
- Finding an **optimal** elimination ordering is **NP-hard**
- **Heuristics** (rules of thumb) for good orderings:
  - **Min-factor:** At every stage, select the variable that constructs the  $\bullet$ smallest new factor
  - **Problem-specific** heuristics

# Optimization: Pruning

- neither observed nor queried
  - Summing them out for **free**
- We can **repeat** this process:

• The structure of the graph can allow us to drop leaf nodes that are



### Optimization: Preprocessing

Finally, if we know that we are always going to be observing and/or querying the same variables, we can preprocess our graph; e.g.:

- 1. will observe and/or query
- queries

Precompute the joint distribution of all the variables we

2. Precompute **conditional distributions** for our exact

### Summary

- distribution
  - Conditioning 1.
  - Multiplication 2.
  - 3. Summing out
- $\bullet$ 
  - **Optimal** order of operations is **NP-hard** to compute lacksquare

• Variable elimination is an algorithm for answering queries based on a belief network

• Operates by using three **operations** on **factors** to reduce graph to a single posterior

**Distributes** operations more efficiently than taking full product and then summing out

• Additional optimization techniques: heuristic ordering, pruning, precomputation